# Exhibit 1 Constitutional Provisions Regarding General Assembly Compensation Commission 

Art III, §15

## Section 15.

(1) The General Assembly may continue its session so long as in its judgment the public interest may require, for a period not longer than ninety days in each year. The ninety days shall be consecutive unless otherwise provided by law. The General Assembly may extend its session beyond ninety days, but not exceeding an additional thirty days, by resolution concurred in by a three-fifths vote of the membership in each House. When the General Assembly is convened by Proclamation of the Governor, the session shall not continue longer than thirty days, but no additional compensation other than mileage and other allowances provided by law shall be paid members of the General Assembly for special session.
(2) Any compensation and allowances paid to members of the General Assembly shall be as established by a commission known as the General Assembly Compensation Commission. The Commission shall consist of nine members, five of whom shall be appointed by the Governor, two of whom shall be appointed by the President of the Senate, and two of whom shall be appointed by the Speaker of the House of Delegates. Members of the General Assembly and officers and employees of the Government of the State of Maryland or of any county, city, or other governmental unit of the State shall not be eligible for appointment to the Commission. Members of the Commission shall be appointed for terms of four years commencing on June 1 of each gubernatorial election year. Members of the Commission are eligible for re-appointment. Any member of the Commission may be removed by the Governor prior to the expiration of his term for official misconduct, incompetence, or neglect of duty. The members shall serve without compensation but shall be reimbursed for expenses incurred in carrying out their responsibilities under this section. Decisions of the Commission must be concurred in by at least five members.
(3) Within 15 days after the beginning of the regular session of the General Assembly in 1974 and within 15 days after the beginning of the regular session in each fourth year thereafter, the Commission by formal resolution shall submit its determinations for compensation and allowances to the General Assembly. The General Assembly may reduce or reject, but shall not increase any item in the resolution. The resolution, with any reductions that shall have been concurred in by joint resolution of the General Assembly, shall take effect and have the force of law as of the beginning of the term of office of the next General Assembly. Rates of compensation and pensions shall be uniform for all members of the General Assembly, except that the officers of the Senate and the House of Delegates may receive higher compensation as determined by the General Assembly Compensation Commission. The provisions of the Compensation Commission resolution shall continue in force until superseded by any succeeding resolution.
(4) In no event shall the compensation and allowances be less than they were prior to the establishment of the Compensation Commission.

Exhibit 2
Use of Compensation Commissions Other Means to Establish Legislative Compensation


## Exhibit 3

General Assembly Compensation Commission

| Applicable Law | Time for Submitting Recommendation | Form of Recommendation | Subject | Time Limit for Legislative Action | Forms of Legislative Action |  | Options for gislative Action |  | Effect of islative Action |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MD Const., Art. III, Sec. 15 | By fiftheenth day of session, i.e., January 26, 2022 | Resolution of Commission, not legislature | Compensation and allowances (i.e., salary as well as expenses and pension) | End of session | Joint Resolution (if no Joint Resolution introduced, Commission's Resolution takes effect) | (1) (2) | May take no action on Joint Resolutions <br> May pass Joint Resolution approving Commission's Resolution | (1) (2) | Commission's Resolution takes effect <br> Commission's Resolution takes effect |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (3) | May pass Joint Resolution reducing or rejecting particular items* but may not increase item | (3) | Commission's Resolution, as modified by Joint Resolution, takes effect |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (4) | May pass Joint Resolution rejecting Commission's Resolution | (4) | Prior <br> Resolution (2018) remains in effect |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (5) | May pass Joint Resolution embodying prior Resolution (2018) | (5) | Prior Resolution (2018) remains in effect |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (6) | May defeat Joint Resolutions | (6) | Commission's Resolution takes effect |

*May not reduce below 1970 levels
Source: Department of Legislative Services

## Exhibit 4

Summary of 1971, 1974, 1978, 1982, and 1986
General Assembly Compensation Commission Recommendations That Were Adopted Implementation of Constitution Article III, Section 15 (as amended 11/3/70)


In-district
Travel
Out-of-state Not specifically addressed
Travel

| Not authorized | Not authorized |
| :--- | :--- |
| Prior joint approval by | Same as 1974 |
| President and Speaker |  |

Same as 1971 plan
to Other
State Plans

## Early

## Retirement

Age 50 if 8 or more years of service; benefit actuarially reduced

## Survivor

Benefit

Spouse receives one-half allowance at age 60; reduced benefit to ages 50 through 59

Not authorized

In each calendar year, the following daily limits:

| 1983 | $\$ 85$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1984 | $\$ 90$ |
| 1985 | $\$ 95$ |
| 1986 | $\$ 100$ |

Optional (1 year to decide)

Same as 1971 plan

Same formula as 1971; may include pre-1971 service in calculating benefits under current plan

Same as 1971 plan

Same as 1971 plan

No
Same as $1971 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Same as } 1971 \text { with addition } \\ & \text { of COLA not to exceed } 3 \%\end{aligned}$
Same as $1971 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Same as } 1971 \text { with addition } \\ & \text { of COLA not to exceed 3\% }\end{aligned}$

Same as 1971 plan

Same as 1971 plan

No
Same as 1971 plan

Same as 1971 plan

No

Same as 1971 plan

Same as 1971 plan


Same as 1971 plan

Same as 1971 plan

Same as 1971 plan
"Survivor" modified to include beneficiaries other than spouse if member is single or widowed
\$200 annual payment

In each calendar year, the following daily limits:

| 1987 | $\$ 105$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1988 | $\$ 110$ |
| 1989 | $\$ 116$ |
| 1990 | $\$ 122$ |

Optional (16 months initial enrollment period)

Same as 1971 plan

Same as 1971 with COLA not to exceed 3\%

Same as 1971 plan

Same as 1971 plan

Yes, if less than 8 years of service

Same as 1971 plan

Same as 1971, with 1982 modifications

| Subject | 1971 | $\underline{1974}$ | 1978 | 1982 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contribute to 8 Years | If terminated at less than 8 years, may contribute member and State shares to 8 -year level and qualify for allowance when eligible | Same as 1971 plan | Same as 1971 plan | Same as 1971 plan | Same as 1971 plan |
| Disability Benefit | Not authorized | Not authorized | Not authorized | Not authorized | Not authorized |

Exhibit 4 (Continued)

## Summary of 1990, 1994, 1998, 2002, and 2006

## General Assembly Compensation Commission Recommendations That Were Adopted Implementation of Constitution Article III, Section 15 (as Amended 11/3/70)

| Subject | 1990 |  |  | 1994 |  | 1998 |  |  | $\underline{2002}$ |  |  | $\underline{2006}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Member | In each calendar year, the following (each in monthly payments): |  |  | In each calendar year, the following (each in monthly payments): |  | In each calendar year, the following (each in monthly payments): |  |  | In each calendar year, the following (each in monthly payments): |  |  | In each calendar year, the following (each in monthly payments): |  |  |
| President and Speaker |  | Mem. | $\underline{\text { Pres/Spk }}$ |  | $\underline{\text { Pres/Spk }}$ |  | Mem. | Pres/Spk |  | Mem. | Pres/Spk |  | Mem. | $\underline{\text { Pres/Spk }}$ |
|  | 1991 | \$27,000 | \$37,000 | 1995 \$2 | 40 \$38,840 | 1999 | \$30,591 | \$40,591 | 2003 | \$34,500 | \$47,500 | 2007 | \$43,500 | \$56,500 |
|  | 1992 | \$27,000 | \$37,000 | 1996 \$2 | \% 339,700 | 2000 | \$30,591 | \$40,591 | 2004 | \$37,500 | \$50,500 | 2008 | \$43,500 | \$56,500 |
|  | 1993 | \$28,000 | \$38,000 | 1997 \$2 | \$39,700 | 2001 | \$31,509 | \$41,509 | 2005 | \$40,500 | \$53,500 | 2009 | \$43,500 | \$56,500 |
|  | 1994 | \$28,000 | \$38,000 | 1998 \$2 | - \$39,700 | 2002 | \$31,509 | \$41,509 | 2006 | \$43,500 | \$56,500 | 2010 | \$43,500 | \$56,500 |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meals and Lodging | In each calendar year, the following daily limits: |  |  | Meals - \$30 allowance per diem |  | Meals - \$30 allowance per diem (same as 1994 plan) |  |  | Meals - Reimbursed in accordance with standard State travel regulations; current limit $\$ 39$ per diem |  |  | Meals - Reimbursed in accordance with standard State travel regulations; current limit $\$ 42$ per diem |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lodging - limit specified by IRS for Annapolis |  | Lodging - limit specified by IRS for Annapolis |  |  | Lodging - limit specified by IRS for Annapolis |  |  | Lodging - limit specified by IRS for Annapolis |  |  |
|  | 1991 | \$90 | \$35 | 1995 | \$76 |  | 99 \$9 |  |  | 3 \$90 |  |  | \$ \$1 |  |
|  | 1992 | \$94 | \$36 | 1996 | \$86 |  | 00 \$90 |  |  | \$95 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1993 | \$98 | \$38 | 1997 | \$86 |  | 01 \$90 |  |  | \$11 |  |  | 99 \$1 |  |
|  | 1994 | \$102 | \$40 | 1998 | \$96 |  | 02 \$9 |  |  | 6 \$10 |  |  | 0 \$1 |  |
| Mileage Allowance | Same conditions; current rate $\$ 0.27$ per mile |  |  | Same conditions; current rate $\$ 0.29$ per mile |  | Same conditions; current rate $\$ 0.32$ per mile |  |  | Same conditions; current rate $\$ 0.48$ per mile |  |  | Same conditions; current rate $\$ 0.50$ per mile |  |  |
| In-district Travel | \$250 annual payment |  |  | \$400 annual payment |  | \$400 annual payment (same as 1994 plan) |  |  | \$500 annual payment |  |  | \$500 annual payment |  |  |


| Subject | 1990 | 1994 | 1998 | $\underline{2002}$ | $\underline{2006}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Out-of-state Travel | In each calendar year, the following daily limits: | \$160 daily limit for lodging and meals; more if costs exceed limit due to nature of travel or high costs of location | \$175 daily limit for lodging and meals; more if costs exceed limit due to nature of travel or high costs of location | \$225 daily limit for lodging and meals; more if costs exceed limit due to nature of travel or high cost of location | \$225 daily limit for lodging and meals; more if costs exceed limit due to nature of travel or high cost of location |
| Retirement Plan |  |  |  |  |  |
| Participation | Optional enrollment allowed at any time | Same as 1990 plan | Same as 1990 plan | Same as 1990 plan | Same as 1990 plan |
| Member Contribution | Same as 1971 plan (5\% of salary) | Same as 1971 plan | Same as 1971 plan | Same as 1971 plan | Same as 1971 plan |
| Allowance | $2.5 \%$ of salary of active legislator for each year of service up to 24 years; benefit recalculated based on salary increases for active legislators | $3.0 \%$ of salary of active legislator for each year of service up to 22 years and 3 months; benefit recalculated based on salary increases for active legislators | Same as 1994 plan | Same as 1994 plan | Same as 1994 plan |
| Maximum Allowance | $60 \%$ of salary payable to an active legislator | $66.67 \%$ of salary payable to an active legislator | Same and 1994 plan | Same as 1994 plan | Same as 1994 plan |
| Eligible for Allowance | Same as 1971 plan (age 60 with at least 8 years of service) | Same as 1971 plan | Same as 1971 plan | Same as 1971 plan | Same as 1971 plan |
| Transfer Credit to Other State Plans | Same as 1986 plan (yes, if less than 8 years of service) | Same as 1986 plan | Same as 1986 plan | Same as 1986 plan | Same as 1986 plan |
| Early Retirement | Age 50 with at least 8 years of service, actuarially reduced $6 \%$ for each year under age 60 | Same as 1990 plan | Same as 1990 plan | Same as 1990 plan | Same as 1990 plan |


| Survivor <br> Benefit | Nonvested Active: <br> Surviving spouse or <br> designated beneficiary <br> receives lump sum payment <br> of 1 year's salary plus return <br> of member's contribution |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Vested Active/Vested |
|  | Former/Retired: <br> Surviving spouse or <br> designated beneficiary may <br> elect either lump sum <br> payment OR a monthly <br> benefit of 50\% of benefit <br> accrued at member's death. |
| Contribute to | Payment to spouse begins at <br> member's death. Payment to <br> designated beneficiary begins <br> at age 60, or actuarially <br> reduced at age 50 |
| 8 Years | Same as 1971 plan (if <br> terminated at less than 8 years, <br> may contribute member and |
| State shares to 8-year level and |  |
| qualify for allowance when |  |
| eligible) |  |

COLA: cost-of-living adjustment
IRS: Internal Revenue Service
Source: Department of Legislative Services


# Exhibit 5 <br> Summary of Final Adopted Joint Resolution 4 of 2010 Regular Session and 2014 and 2018 GACC Resolutions 

| Subject | Joint Resolution 4 of 2010 Regular Session |  |  | 2014 GACC Resolution |  |  | 2018 GACC Resolution |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Member | In each calendar year, the following (each in monthly payments): |  |  | In each calendar year, the following (each in monthly payments): |  |  | In each calendar year, the following (each in monthly payments): |  |  |
| President and Speaker |  | Mem. | Pres/Spk |  | Mem. | Pres/Spk |  | Mem. | Pres/Spk |
|  | 2011 | \$43,500 | \$56,500 | 2015 | \$45,207 | \$58,718 | 2019 | \$50,330 | \$65,371 |
|  | 2012 | \$43,500 | \$56,500 | 2016 | \$46,915 | \$60,935 | 2020 | \$50,330 | \$65,371 |
|  | 2013 | \$43,500 | \$56,500 | 2017 | \$48,622 | \$63,153 | 2021 | \$50,330 | \$65,371 |
|  | 2014 | \$43,500 | \$56,500 | 2018 | \$50,330 | \$65,371 | 2022 | \$50,330 | \$65,371 |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meals and Lodging* | Meals - Reimbursed in accordance with standard State travel regulations; current limit $\$ 42$ per diem |  |  | Meals - Reimbursed in accordance with standard State travel regulations; current limit $\$ 47$ per diem |  |  | Meals - Reimbursed in accordance with standard State travel regulations; current limit $\$ 56$ per diem |  |  |
|  | Lodging - limit specified by IRS for Annapolis |  |  | Lodging - limit set by GSA for Annapolis; currently \$103/126; in-state out-of-Annapolis lodging approved by presiding officers reimbursed at GSA rate |  |  | Lodging - limit set by GSA for Annapolis; currently \$106/133; in-state out-of-Annapolis lodging approved by presiding officers reimbursed at GSA rate |  |  |
|  | 2011 | \$100/114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2012 | \$101/116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2013 | \$101/116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2014 | \$101/116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mileage Allowance | Same conditions; current rate $\$ 0.565$ per mile |  |  | Same conditions; current rate $\$ 0.535$ per mile |  |  | Same conditions; current rate $\$ 0.56$ per mile |  |  |
| In-district Travel | \$500 annual payment |  |  | \$750 annual payment |  |  | \$750 annual payment |  |  |

## Subject

Out-of-state Travel

## Joint Resolution 4 of

 2010 Regular Session\$225 daily limit for lodging and meals; more if costs exceed limit due to nature of travel or high cost of location

## Retirement Plan

| Participation | Optional enrollment allowed at any time | Mandatory enrollment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Member Contribution | $5.0 \%$ of salary | 7.0\% of salary |
| Allowance | $3.0 \%$ of salary of active legislator for each year of service up to 22 years and 3 months; benefit recalculated based on salary increases for active legislators; unchanged since 1994 | Same as 2010 plan |
| Maximum Allowance | $66.67 \%$ of salary payable to an active legislator; unchanged since 1994 | Same as 2010 plan |
| Eligible for Allowance | Age 60 with at least 8 years of service; unchanged since 1991 | Unchanged for members with creditable service prior to January 14, 2015; for members with no creditable service prior to January 14, 2015, normal retirement age was increased to 62 (same minimum length of service) |
| Transfer Credit to Other State Plans | Yes, if less than 8 years of service; unchanged since 1986 | Same as 2010 plan |

## 2014 GACC Resolution

Subject to most current GSA daily per diem rates for meals and lodging

## 2018 GACC Resolution

Subject to most current GSA daily per diem rates for meals and lodging except that if the published conference rate is higher, the higher rate may be approved by the presiding officers

## Mandatory enrollment

7.0\% of salary

Same as 2010 plan

Same as 2010 plan

Same as 2014 plan

Same as 2010 plan

## Subject

Early Retirement

Retiree Health Benefit
Eligible to those with a retirement allowance; full retiree health subsidy is reached after 16 years of creditable service

Survivor Benefit 2010 Regular Session

Age 50 with at least 8 years of service, actuarially reduced $6 \%$ for each year under age 60 ; unchanged since 1990


## Joint Resolution 4 of

## 2014 GACC Resolution

Unchanged for members with creditable service prior to January 14, 2015; for members with no creditable service prior to January 14,2015 , early retirement age increased to 55 (with same minimum length of service and actuarial reduction per year under normal retirement age)

For members with creditable service prior to January 14, 2015, full retiree health subsidy is reached after 16 years; for members with no creditable service prior to January 14, 2015, full retirement subsidy is reached after 20 years
Options changed to conform to IRS regulations

Surviving spouse or designated beneficiary receives lump sum payment of 1 year's salary plus return of member's contribution

Vested Active/Vested Former/Retired:
Surviving spouse or designated beneficiary/beneficiaries may elect either lump sum payment OR a monthly benefit of $50 \%$ of benefit accrued at member's death; payment to spouse begins at member's death; payment to designated beneficiaries begins at age 60 , or actuarially reduced at age 50

Unchanged since 2002 plan

| Subject | Joint Resolution 4 of 2010 Regular Session | 2014 GACC Resolution | 2018 GACC Resolution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contribute to 8 Years | If terminated at less than 8 years, may contribute member and State shares to 8 -year level and qualify for allowance when eligible; unchanged since 1971 plan | Same as 2010 plan | Members with creditable service prior to January 9, 2019, may contribute as before to reach 8 years; members with no creditable service before January 9, 2019, may not buy service credit |
| Disability Benefit | If totally disabled, vested member receives annual retirement allowance regardless of age; unchanged since 1994 | Same as 2010 plan | Same as 2010 plan |
| Military Service | No allowance for military service. | Same as 2010 plan | Members who have at least 8 years of service are entitled to receive credit for military service of up to 3 years |
| Forfeiture of Retirement Benefits | Forfeiture of benefits based on conviction or entering a plea of nolo contendere to any crime committed during the member's term of office that is either a felony or certain misdemeanors | Same as 2010 plan | Same as 2010 plan |
| GACC: General Assemb GSA: General Services IRS: Internal Revenue S | Compensation Commission ministration ice |  |  |

Source: Department of Legislative Services

## Exhibit 6 <br> General Assembly of Maryland <br> Bills and Joint Resolutions <br> 1991 through 2021 Regular Sessions

| Bills | Senate |  | House |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Introduced | Passed | Introduced | Passed | Introduced | Passed |
| 1991 | 848 | 301 | 1,331 | 474 | 2,179 | 775 |
| 1992 | 773 | 260 | 1,578 | 481 | 2,351 | 741 |
| 1993 | 921 | 289 | 1,627 | 453 | 2,548 | 742 |
| 1994 | 857 | 305 | 1,694 | 596 | 2,551 | 901 |
| 1995 | 868 | 301 | 1,393 | 477 | 2,261 | 778 |
| 1996 | 806 | 283 | 1,463 | 513 | 2,269 | 796 |
| 1997 | 915 | 354 | 1,470 | 537 | 2,385 | 891 |
| 1998 | 799 | 358 | 1,428 | 531 | 2,227 | 889 |
| 1999 | 795 | 333 | 1,219 | 497 | 2,014 | 830 |
| 2000 | 907 | 366 | 1,440 | 518 | 2,347 | 884 |
| 2001 | 901 | 352 | 1,464 | 575 | 2,365 | 927 |
| 2002 | 903 | 307 | 1,462 | 485 | 2,365 | 792 |
| 2003 | 774 | 252 | 1,185 | 377 | 1,959 | 629 |
| 2004 | 934 | 285 | 1,548 | 421 | 2,482 | 706 |
| 2005 | 1,018 | 350 | 1,614 | 476 | 2,632 | 826 |
| 2006 | 1,107 | 334 | 1,749 | 489 | 2,856 | 823 |
| 2007 | 1,037 | 346 | 1,443 | 452 | 2,480 | 798 |
| 2008 | 1,014 | 295 | 1,627 | 452 | 2,641 | 747 |
| 2009 | 1,073 | 343 | 1,581 | 456 | 2,654 | 799 |
| 2010 | 1,129 | 372 | 1,571 | 438 | 2,700 | 810 |
| 2011 | 998 | 319 | 1,355 | 388 | 2,353 | 707 |
| 2012 | 1,101 | 345 | 1,479 | 447 | 2,580 | 792 |
| 2013 | 1,075 | 322 | 1,535 | 443 | 2,610 | 765 |
| 2014 | 1,117 | 368 | 1,555 | 443 | 2,672 | 811 |
| 2015 | 942 | 297 | 1,292 | 385 | 2,234 | 682 |
| 2016 | 1,173 | 381 | 1,644 | 453 | 2,817 | 834 |
| 2017 | 1,200 | 408 | 1,661 | 527 | 2,861 | 935 |
| 2018 | 1,269 | 403 | 1,832 | 486 | 3,101 | 889 |
| 2019 | 1,051 | 403 | 1,430 | 461 | 2,481 | 864 |
| 2020 | 1,081 | 323 | 1,663 | 357 | 2,744 | 680 |
| 2021 | 964 | 392 | 1,380 | 424 | 2,344 | 816 |


| Joint Resolutions | Senate |  | House |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Introduced | Passed | Introduced | Passed | Introduced | Passed |
| 1991 | 16 | 1 | 28 | 4 | 44 | 5 |
| 1992 | 21 | 3 | 28 | 5 | 49 | 8 |
| 1993 | 15 | 3 | 35 | 3 | 50 | 6 |
| 1994 | 13 | 6 | 28 | 4 | 41 | 10 |
| 1995 | 11 | 3 | 22 | 7 | 33 | 10 |
| 1996 | 10 | 3 | 20 | 4 | 30 | 7 |
| 1997 | 17 | 3 | 28 | 5 | 45 | 8 |
| 1998 | 17 | 6 | 22 | 6 | 39 | 12 |
| 1999 | 11 | 6 | 24 | 7 | 35 | 13 |
| 2000 | 12 | 8 | 28 | 9 | 40 | 17 |
| 2001 | 16 | 4 | 27 | 10 | 43 | 14 |
| 2002 | 23 | 10 | 39 | 15 | 62 | 25 |
| 2003 | 13 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 33 | 0 |
| 2004 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 21 | 1 |
| 2005 | 11 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 24 | 0 |
| 2006 | 16 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 26 | 5 |
| 2007 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 15 | 2 |
| 2008 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 2009 | 12 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 21 | 1 |
| 2010 | 6 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 20 | 4 |
| 2011 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 17 | 1 |
| 2012 | 7 | 3 | 16 | 2 | 23 | 5 |
| 2013 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 2014 | 9 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 21 | 3 |
| 2015 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| 2016 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 1 |
| 2017 | 8 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 18 | 5 |
| 2018 | 12 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 26 | 3 |
| 2019 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 16 | 2 |
| 2020 | 6 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 18 | 1 |
| 2021 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 1 |

Source: Department of Legislative Services

## Exhibit 7

How Full- or Part-time Is the State Legislature?


Note: Classification is based on estimated time on the job, compensation, professional staffing levels, and session lengths. On average, full-time and full-time lite legislators spend $84 \%$ of work life on legislative work, are compensated at $\$ 82,358$, and have a total of 1,250 professional staff. On average, hybrid legislators spend $74 \%$ of work life on legislative work, are compensated at $\$ 41,110$, and have a total of 469 professional staff. On average, part-time lite and part-time legislators spend $57 \%$ of work life on legislative work, are compensated at $\$ 18,449$, and have a total of 160 professional staff.

Source: National Conference of State Legislatures, based on data collected in 2014 and 2015

Exhibit 8
Permanent Staff Per Legislator


Note: Data is as of 2015
Source: National Conference of State Legislatures; Department of Legislative Services

Exhibit 9
Total Legislative Staff Per Legislator


Note: Data is as of 2015.
Source: National Conference of State Legislatures

# Exhibit 10 Attributes of a Professional and Citizen Legislature 

## Professionalization <br> Component

Compensation and
Benefits

Time Demands of Service

## Professionalized Legislature

- Increased incentive to serve, leading to longer tenure and more experience
- Increased ability to focus on legislative activities
- Attracts better qualified members
- Tends to foster legislative independence
- Reduced opportunities to pursue other employment and increased need for higher salary to compensate for lost income
- Increased opportunity to master legislative skills
- More time for policy development and deliberation, especially in budget development
- Able to spend more time on constituent service
- Fewer demands on time tends to result in better attendance

Staff and Resources - Increased ability of members to influence policymaking process

- Increased job satisfaction
- Enhanced reelection prospects


## Citizen Legislature

- Members spend more time in their community, not the capital
- Costs less to maintain legislative branch
- Attracts members who wish to commit to public service while maintaining other careers
- More turnover means less experience but more new ideas
- Increased opportunity to pursue other employment and less need to compensate for lost income
- Need to manage time in session effectively
- Makes interim between sessions available for study of issues and legislative oversight
- Primary reliance on central, nonpartisan staff
- Staffing is more efficient and cost effective
- Requires members to conduct own constituent service and correspondence
- Reduced incumbency advantage in elections. No need for year-round offices in capital

Source: Peverill Squire and Gary Moncrief, State Legislatures Today: Politics Under the Domes; Matthew Bangcaya et. al., Professionalism and Effectiveness in State Legislatures; Peverill Squire, A Squire Index Update, State Politics and Policy Quarterly, 2017, Vol. 17(4).

Exhibit 11
Staff Legislative Professionalism


Note: Index is based on legislator pay, number of days in Session, and staff per legislator compared to the same characteristics in the U.S. Congress in the same year where 1.0 ranks as perfectly comparable and 0.0 represents no resemblance.

Source: Peverill Squire, A Squire Index Update in State Politics and Policy Quarterly, 2017 Vol. 17(4).

## Exhibit 12 <br> Legislators: National and Maryland Professional Backgrounds

| Occupation | Maryland (\%) | Nationa |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Business (Owner, Executive, Nonexecutive) | 23 | 29 |
| Law | 21 | 14 |
| Full-time Legislator | 15 | 11 |
| Consulting/Professional/Nonprofit | 12 | 8 |
| Education | 5 | 6 |
| Retired | 3 | 8 |
| Other (Nine Different Occupational |  |  |
| $\quad$ Categories none with More Than 5\% | 21 | 22 |
| $\quad$ Nationally) |  |  |

Note: Numbers may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. Almost half (10\%) of the other category in Maryland are local (8\%) and State (2\%) government employees.

Source: National Conference of State Legislatures (NCSL) (downloaded from NCSL website September 2021) based on 2015 self-reported data; Maryland General Assembly profile information August 2021.

## Exhibit 13 <br> Legislators Continued in Office <br> Election Year Turnover <br> 1974-2018

| Election Year | Senate |  | House |  | Total |  | Less |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Changes | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Changes | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Changes | \% of Total | House to Senate | Net | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1974 | 19 | 40.4\% | 63 | 44.7\% | 82 | 43.6\% | 9 | 73 | 38.8\% |
| 1978 | 11 | 23.4\% | 54 | 38.3\% | 65 | 34.6\% | 7 | 58 | 30.9\% |
| 1982 | 17 | 36.2\% | 50 | 35.5\% | 67 | 35.6\% | 9 | 58 | 30.9\% |
| 1986 | 8 | 17.0\% | 41 | 29.1\% | 49 | 26.1\% | 7 | 42 | 22.3\% |
| 1990 | 10 | 21.3\% | 35 | 24.8\% | 45 | 23.9\% | 5 | 40 | 21.2\% |
| 1994 | 20 | 42.6\% | 60 | 42.6\% | 80 | 42.6\% | 10 | 70 | 37.2\% |
| 1998 | 7 | 14.9\% | 30 | 21.3\% | 37 | 19.7\% | 3 | 34 | 18.1\% |
| 2002 | 11 | 23.4\% | 47 | 33.3\% | 58 | 30.9\% | 6 | 52 | 27.7\% |
| 2006 | 11 | 23.4\% | 42 | 29.8\% | 53 | 28.2\% | 4 | 49 | 26.1\% |
| 2010 | 10 | 21.3\% | 29 | 20.6\% | 39 | 20.7\% | 7 | 32 | 17.0\% |
| 2014 | 10 | 21.3\% | 58 | 41.1\% | 68 | 36.2\% | 7 | 61 | 32.4\% |
| 2018 | 19 | 40.4\% | 47 | 33.3\% | 66 | 35.1\% | 9 | 57 | 30.3\% |

Note: Of the 47 House changes in 2018, 11 involved legislators who ran for the Senate of whom 9 were elected.
Source: Ballotpedia; Department of Legislative Services

Exhibit 14
Current Senate Members Total Legislative Service


■ Senate Service
$\square$ Prior House Service

[^0]Exhibit 15
Current House Members Total Legislative Service


[^1]
# Exhibit 16 2021 Legislative Salary and Session Characteristics 

| State |  | Length of Terms (in Years) |  | Session Legal Limit | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salary | Senate | House |  |  |
| California | \$114,877 | 4 | 2 | Varies by year | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| New York | 110,000 | 2 | 2 | None | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| Pennsylvania | 90,335 | 4 | 2 | None | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| Michigan | 71,685 | 4 | 2 | None |  |
| Massachusetts | 70,536 | 2 | 2 | None | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| Illinois | 69,464 | 4 | 2 | None | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| Ohio | 67,492 | 4 | 2 | None | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| Hawaii | 62,604 | 4 | 2 | 60L | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| Washington | 56,881 | 4 | 2 | Varies by year | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| Wisconsin | 55,151 | 4 | 2 | None | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| Alabama | 51,734 | 4 | 4 | 30L | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| Alaska | 50,400 | 4 | 2 | 90 C |  |
| Maryland | 50,330 | 4 | 4 | 90C | Increase in salary since 2017* |
| New Jersey | 49,000 | 4 | 2 | None |  |
| Oklahoma | 47,500 | 4 |  | Last Friday in May | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| Delaware | 47,291 | 4 | 2 | End by June 30 | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| Minnesota | 46,500 | 4 | 2 | 120L per biennium | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| Arkansas | 42,428 | 4 | 2 | Varies by year | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| Colorado | 40,242 | 4 | 2 | 120C | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| Missouri | 35,915 | 4 | 2 | End by May 30 |  |
| Oregon | 32,839 | 4 | 2 | Varies by year | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| Florida | 29,697 | 4 | 2 | 60C |  |
| Indiana | 28,103 | 4 | 2 | Varies by year | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| Connecticut | 28,000 | 2 | 2 | Varies by year |  |
| Iowa | 25,000 | 4 | 2 | Varies by year |  |
| Tennessee | 24,316 | 4 | 2 | 90L | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| Arizona | 24,000 | 2 | 2 | Saturday of week after 100C |  |
| Mississippi | 23,500 | 4 | 4 | Varies by year | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| West Virginia | 20,000 | 4 | 2 | 60C |  |
| Idaho | 18,691 | 2 | 2 | None | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| Virginia | 18,000 (Senate) <br> 17,640 (House) | 4 | 2 | Varies by year |  |
| Louisiana | 16,800 | 4 | 4 | Varies by year |  |


| State | Salary | Length of Terms (in Years) |  | Session Legal Limit | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Senate | House |  |  |
| Rhode Island | 16,636 | 2 | 2 | None | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| Georgia | 15,608 | 2 | 2 | 40L | Reduction in salary since 2017 |
| Maine | 1st Session: 15,417 2nd Session: 10,923 | 2 | 2 | Varies by year | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| North Carolina | 13,951 | 2 | 2 | None |  |
| South Dakota | 12,851 | 2 | 2 | 40L | Increase in salary since 2017 |
| Nebraska | 12,000 | 4 |  | Varies by year |  |
| South Carolina | 10,400 | 4 | 2 | 1st Thursday in June |  |
| Texas | 7,200 | 4 | 2 | 140C biennial |  |
| New Hampshire | 100 | 2 | 2 | 45 L or July 1 |  |
| New Mexico | 0 | 4 | 2 | Varies by year |  |
| Vermont | 743/week | 2 | 2 | None | Increase in weekly rate since 2013 |
| North Dakota | 525/month | 4 | 4 | 80L biennial | Change from daily to monthly. Actual compensation is estimated to be similar |
| Utah | 285/day | 4 | 2 | 45C | Increase in daily rate since 2017 |
| Kentucky | 188/day | 4 | 2 | Varies by year |  |
| Nevada | 165/day | 4 | 2 | 120C biennial | Increase in daily rate since 2017 |
| Wyoming | 150/day | 4 | 2 | Varies by year |  |
| Montana | 100/day | 4 | 2 | 90L biennial | Increase in daily rate since 2017 |
| Kansas | 89/day | 4 | 2 | Varies by year |  |

* Maryland legislative salaries did increase since 2017 as the 2014 Resolution included annual increases in calendar 2015 to 2018. No increase was otherwise provided in the 2018 Resolution.

Note: States with legislatures that are generally considered full-time are shaded; Nebraska's legislature is unicameral.
Key: L - Legislative Day
C - Calendar Day
Varies by year - Typically these states alternate between one longer and one shorter session or have a longer session following a gubernatorial election year.

Source: National Conference of State Legislators; Department of Legislative Services

## Exhibit 17

## Relative Standing of Legislative Salaries

## Calendar 2006-2021

| State | 2021 |  | 2017 |  | 2013 |  | 2009 |  | 2006 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2017-2021 } \\ \text { Salary Change } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salary | Rank | $\underline{\text { Salary }}$ | Rank | Salary | Rank | Salary | Rank | Salary | Rank |  |
| California | \$114,877 | 1 | \$104,118 | 1 | \$90,526 | 1 | \$116,208 | 1 | \$110,800 | 1 | 10.3\% |
| New York | 110,000 | 2 | 79,500 | 3 | 79,500 | 3 | 79,500 | 3 | 79,500 | 3 | 38.4\% |
| Pennsylvania | 90,335 | 3 | 86,479 | 2 | 83,801 | 2 | 78,315 | 4 | 69,647 | 4 | 4.5\% |
| Michigan | 71,685 | 4 | 71,685 | 4 | 71,865 | 4 | 79,650 | 2 | 79,650 | 2 | 0.0\% |
| Massachusetts | 70,536 | 5 | 62,548 | 6 | 60,033 | 7 | 61,440 | 6 | 53,380 | 7 | 12.8\% |
| Illinois | 69,464 | 6 | 67,836 | 5 | 67,836 | 5 | 67,836 | 5 | 55,788 | 6 | 2.4\% |
| Ohio | 67,492 | 7 | 60,584 | 8 | 60,584 | 6 | 60,584 | 7 | 56,261 | 5 | 11.4\% |
| Hawaii | 62,604 | 8 | 61,380 | 7 | 46,273 | 11 | 48,708 | 10 | 35,000 | 13 | 2.0\% |
| Washington | 56,881 | 9 | 47,776 | 13 | 42,106 | 14 | 42,106 | 13 | 34,227 | 14 | 19.1\% |
| Wisconsin | 55,151 | 10 | 50,950 | 9 | 49,943 | 9 | 49,943 | 8 | 45,569 | 9 | 8.2\% |
| Alabama | 51,734 | 11 | 44,765 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.6\% |
| Alaska | 50,400 | 12 | 50,400 | 10 | 50,400 | 8 | 24,012 | 21 | 24,012 | 20 | 0.0\% |
| Maryland | 50,330 | 13 | 48,622 | 12 | 43,500 | 13 | 43,500 | 11 | 43,500 | 10 | 3.5\% |
| New Jersey | 49,000 | 14 | 49,000 | 11 | 49,000 | 10 | 49,900 | 9 | 49,000 | 8 | 0.0\% |
| Oklahoma | 47,500 | 15 | 38,400 | 17 | 38,400 | 15 | 38,400 | 14 | 38,400 | 12 | 23.7\% |
| Delaware | 47,291 | 16 | 45,291 | 14 | 44,041 | 12 | 42,750 | 12 | 39,785 | 11 | 4.4\% |
| Minnesota | 46,500 | 17 | 31,141 | 19 | 31,141 | 17 | 31,141 | 16 | 31,141 | 16 | 3.3\% |
| Arkansas | 42,428 | 18 | 39,400 | 16 | 15,869 | 31 | 15,362 | 31 | 13,751 | 34 | 7.7\% |
| Colorado | 40,242 | 19 | 30,000 | 20 | 30,000 | 18 | 30,000 | 18 | 30,000 | 17 | 34.1\% |
| Missouri | 35,915 | 20 | 35,915 | 18 | 35,915 | 16 | 35,915 | 15 | 31,351 | 15 | 0.0\% |
| Oregon | 32,839 | 21 | 24,216 | 25 | 22,260 | 24 | 21,612 | 24 | 16,284 | 27 | 35.6\% |
| Florida | 29,697 | 22 | 29,697 | 21 | 29,697 | 19 | 30,336 | 17 | 29,916 | 18 | 0.0\% |
| Indiana | 28,103 | 23 | 25,436 | 23 | 22,616 | 23 | 22,616 | 23 | 11,600 | 34 | 10.5\% |


|  | 2021 |  | 2017 |  | 2013 |  | 2009 |  | 2006 |  | 2017-2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Salary | Rank | Salary | Rank | Salary | Rank | Salary | Rank | Salary | Rank | Salary Change |
| Connecticut | 28,000 | 24 | 28,000 | 22 | 28,000 | 20 | 28,000 | 19 | 28,000 | 19 | 0.0\% |
| Iowa | 25,000 | 25 | 25,000 | 24 | 25,000 | 21 | 25,000 | 20 | 21,381 | 22 | 0.0\% |
| Tennessee | 24,316 | 26 | 22,667 | 27 | 19,009 | 26 | \$19,009 | 26 | 16,500 | 26 | 7.3\% |
| Arizona | 24,000 | 27 | 24,000 | 26 | 24,000 | 22 | 24,000 | 22 | 24,000 | 21 | 0.0\% |
| Mississippi | 23,500 | 28 | 10,000 | 38 | 10,000 | 37 | 10,000 | 37 | 10,000 | 37 | 135.0\% |
| West Virginia | 20,000 | 29 | 20,000 | 28 | 20,000 | 25 | 20,000 | 25 | 15,000 | 29 | 0.0\% |
| Idaho | 18,691 | 30 | 17,017 | 31 | 16,438 | 30 | 16,116 | 30 | 15,646 | 28 | 9.8\% |
| Virginia | $\begin{gathered} 18,000(\mathrm{~S}) \\ 17,640(\mathrm{H}) \end{gathered}$ | 31 | $\begin{gathered} 18,000(\mathrm{~S}) \\ 17,640(\mathrm{H}) \end{gathered}$ | 29 | $\begin{array}{r} 18,000(\mathrm{~S}) \\ 17,640(\mathrm{H}) \end{array}$ | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & 18,000(\mathrm{~S}) \\ & 17,640(\mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | 27 | $\begin{array}{r} 18,000(\mathrm{~S}) \\ 17,640(\mathrm{H}) \end{array}$ | 23 | 0.0\% |
| Louisiana | 16,800 | 32 | 16,800 | 32 | 16,800 | 29 | 16,800 | 29 | 16,800 | 24 | 0.0\% |
| Rhode Island | 16,636 | 33 | 15,430 | 33 | 14,640 | 32 | 13,089 | 34 | 12,646 | 32 | 7.8\% |
| Georgia | 15,608 | 34 | 17,342 | 30 | 17,342 | 28 | 17,342 | 28 | 16,524 | 25 | -10.0\% |
| Maine | 15,417 | 35 | 14,271 | 34 | 13,852 | 34 | 13,526 | 33 | 11,384 | 35 | 8.0\% |
| North Carolina | 13,951 | 36 | 13,951 | 35 | 13,951 | 33 | 13,951 | 32 | 13,951 | 31 | 0.0\% |
| South Dakota | 12,851 | 37 | 6,000 | 40 | 6,000 | 39 | 6,000 | 39 | 6,000 | 39 | 114.2\% |
| Nebraska | 12,000 | 38 | 12,000 | 36 | 12,000 | 35 | 12,000 | 35 | 12,000 | 33 | 0.0\% |
| South Carolina | 10,400 | 39 | 10,400 | 37 | 10,400 | 36 | 10,400 | 36 | 10,400 | 36 | 0.0\% |
| Texas | 7,200 | 40 | 7,200 | 39 | 7,200 | 38 | 7,200 | 38 | 7,200 | 38 | 0.0\% |
| New Hampshire | 100 | 41 | 100 | 41 | 100 | 40 | 100 |  | 100 | 40 | 0.0\% |
| New Mexico | 0 | 42 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 41 | 0.0\% |

H: House of Delegates
S: Senate
Note: Data is for those states with annual salaries only as opposed to daily, weekly, or monthly allowances; data for Maine is for the first session of the term (the longest/highest paid term)

Source: National Conference of State Legislatures; Department of Legislative Services

## Exhibit 18 <br> Changes to Legislative Salaries

| State | 2017 Salary |  | 2021 Salary |  | \$ Difference |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Source: National Conference of State Legislatures; Department of Legislative Services

# Exhibit 19 <br> Legislative Salaries in States with Combined Operating and Capital Budgets within \$10 Billion of Maryland's Combined Budget 

| State | Budget $^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{( \$ \text { in Billions) }}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Legislative Salary ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| Georgia | $\$ 53.9$ | $\$ 15,608$ |
| Washington | 50.6 | 56,881 |
| Wisconsin | 50.2 | 55,151 |
| North Carolina | 49.2 | 13,951 |
| Maryland | $\mathbf{4 5 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 , 3 3 0}$ |
| Colorado | 42.8 | 40,242 |
| Oregon | 42.6 | 32,839 |
| Minnesota | 40.8 | 46,500 |
| Arizona | 38.7 | 24,000 |

${ }^{1}$ Based on actual fiscal 2019 data.
${ }^{2}$ Calendar 2021

Note: State budgets range from $\$ 4.488$ billion in South Dakota to $\$ 300.445$ billion in California. Of those states with legislative salaries higher than Maryland, only three have smaller combined operating and capital budgets (Alabama, Alaska, and Hawaii). Of those states with legislative salaries lower than Maryland, six have larger combined operating and capital budgets (Florida, Georgia, New Jersey, North Carolina, Texas, and Virginia).

Source: National Association of State Budget Officers, Fiscal 2018-2020 State Expenditure Report; National Conference of State Legislatures; Department of Legislative Services

Exhibit 20

## Summary of Recent State Employee and General Assembly Member Salary Changes <br> Fiscal 2003-2022

| Fiscal Year | State Employees |  | General Assembly Members |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost-of-living Increase | Increments | $\underline{\text { Salary }}{ }^{(4)}$ | Salary Increase Over Previous Year |
| 2003 | None | No | \$34,500 | 9.5\% |
| 2004 | None | No | 37,500 | 8.7\% |
| 2005 | \$752 | Yes | 40,500 | 8.0\% |
| 2006 | 1.5\% | Yes | 43,500 | 7.4\% |
| 2007 | $2.0 \%$ with $\$ 900$ floor and $\$ 1,400$ ceiling | Yes | 43,500 | None |
| 2008 | 2.0\% | Yes | 43,500 | None |
| 2009 | $0.5 \%{ }^{(1)}$ | Yes | 43,500 | None |
| 2010 | None ${ }^{(2)}$ | No | 43,500 | None |
| 2011 | None ${ }^{(3)}$ | No | 43,500 | None |
| 2012 | None | No | 43,500 | None |
| 2013 | 2.0\% on $1 / 1 / 13$ | No | 43,500 | None |
| 2014 | $3.0 \%$ on $1 / 1 / 14$ | On 4/1/14 | 43,500 | None |
| 2015 | 2.0\% on 1/1/15 | Yes | 45,207 | 3.9\% |
| 2016 | 2.0\% | No | 46,915 | 3.8\% |
| 2017 | None | Yes | 48,622 | 3.6\% |
| 2018 | None | No | 50,330 | 3.5\% |
| 2019 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \% \text { on } 1 / 1 / 19, \\ & 0.5 \% \text { on } 4 / 1 / 19 \end{aligned}$ | No | 50,330 | None |
| 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \% \text { on } 7 / 1 / 19, \\ & 1 \% \text { on } 1 / 1 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | No | 50,330 | None |
| 2021 | $2 \%$ on $1 / 1 / 21$ | No | 50,330 | None |
| 2022 | None | No | 50,330 | None |

[^2]Source: Department of Legislative Services

Exhibit 21
General Salary Increases, Increments, and Other Compensation
Fiscal 2003-2022

|  | State Employees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fiscal Year | Date of Increase | General Salary Increase | Increments | Police, Natural Resources Police, and Park Ranger Salary Increases | Maximum Deferred Compensation Match by State | Pay-forperformance Bonuses | Annual Salary Review <br> $\underline{\text { Reclassifications }}$ | Other |
|  | 2003 |  | None | None |  | \$500 | None | None |  |
|  | 2004 |  | None | None |  | None | None | None |  |
|  | 2005 | 7/1/2004 | \$752 | On time |  | None | None | Yes ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |
|  | 2006 | 7/1/2005 | 1.5\% | On time |  | \$400 | None | Yes ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |
|  | 2007 | 7/1/2006 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 900, \$ 1,400, \\ \text { or } 2.0 \%^{(3)} \end{gathered}$ | On time | 2.0\% extra, $9.0 \%$ extra for State police (primarily DGS and DHMH officers) | \$600 | None | Yes ${ }^{(4)}$ | 2 steps on standard salary schedule; 1 step on the physician's salary schedule |
| N | 2008 | 7/1/2007 | 2.0\% | On time |  | \$600 | None | None |  |
|  | 2009 | 7/1/2008 | $0.5 \%{ }^{(5)}$ | On time |  | \$600 | None | Yes ${ }^{(6)}$ | 2-5-day furlough enacted ${ }^{(7)}$ |
|  | 2010 |  | None | None |  | \$0 | None | None | 3-1- day furlough enacted ${ }^{(8)}$ |
|  | 2011 |  | None | None |  | \$0 | None | None | 3-10-day furlough enacted ${ }^{(9)}$ |
|  | 2012 |  | None | None | Negotiated increments | \$0 | \$750 bonus ${ }^{(10)}$ | None | Furloughs ended |
|  | 2013 | 1/1/2013 | 2.0\% | None |  | \$0 | None | Yes ${ }^{(11)}$ |  |
|  | 2014 | 1/1/2014 | 3.0\% | 4/1/2014 | Negotiated increments | \$0 | None | Yes ${ }^{(12)}$ |  |
|  | 2015 | 1/1/2015 | 2.0\% | On time | Negotiated increments | \$0 | None | Yes ${ }^{(13)}$ |  |
|  | 2016 | 7/1/2015 | 2.0\% | None |  | \$0 | None | Yes ${ }^{(14)}$ |  |
|  | 2017 |  | None | On time | Negotiated increments | \$0 | None | Yes ${ }^{(15)}$ |  |
|  | 2018 |  | None | None | Negotiated increments | \$0 | None | None |  |
|  | 2019 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 1 / 19 ; \\ & 4 / 1 / 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \% ; \\ 0.5 \%^{(16)} \end{gathered}$ | None | $2 \%$ and negotiated increments | \$0 | \$500 ${ }^{(16)}$ | Yes ${ }^{(17)}$ |  |
|  | 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 1 / 19 \\ & 1 / 1 / 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \% ; \\ 1 \%^{(18)} \end{gathered}$ | None | $5 \%$ and negotiated increments | \$0 | None | Yes ${ }^{(19)}$ |  |
|  | 2021 | 1/1/21 | 2\% | None | 5\% | \$0 | None | Yes ${ }^{(20)}$ |  |
|  | 2022 |  | None ${ }^{(21)}$ | None | $4 \%$ and negotiated increments | \$0 | None | Yes ${ }^{(22)}$ |  |

## DGS: Department of General Services

## DHMH: Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

${ }^{(1)}$ The fiscal 2005 annual salary review (ASR) provided upgrades for public defenders, social services attorneys, assistant general counsels (human relations), assistant State prosecutors, direct service workers in the Department of Juvenile Services, property assessors, laboratory scientists, administrative law judges, and banking financial examiners.
${ }^{(2)}$ The fiscal 2006 ASR provided a one-grade salary adjustment for the Deputy State Fire Marshal classification series.
${ }^{(3)}$ Fiscal 2007 general salary increases were $\$ 900$ for employees making less than $\$ 45,000$ at the end of fiscal 2006, $\$ 1,400$ for employees making $\$ 70,000$ or more, and $2 \%$ for those remaining.
${ }^{(4)}$ The fiscal 2007 ASR provided reclassifications and other enhancements for correctional officers and correctional support personnel, registered nurses, licensed practical nurses, direct care assistants, forensic scientists, institutional educators, administrative law judges, and teachers' aides.
${ }^{(5)}$ A $2.0 \%$ cost-of-living increase was included in the fiscal 2009 budget. However, a furlough for State employees by Executive Order 01.01 .2008 .20 on December 16, 2008, reduced employee salaries by an average of approximately $1.5 \%$. General Assembly members are constitutionally exempt from furloughs.
${ }^{(6)}$ The fiscal 2009 ASR provided reclassifications and other enhancements for scientists, investigators, engineers, public defender intake specialists, veteran services, cemetery workers, call center specialists, complex tax auditors, tax consultants, retirement benefits counselors, medical care specialists, dental workers, financial regulators, deputy fire marshals, lead aviation maintenance technicians, police communications operators, and civilian helicopter pilots.
${ }^{(7)}$ State employee salaries were reduced through furlough in fiscal 2009 by Executive Order 01.01.2008.20 in December 2008. The salaries for employees earning $\$ 40,000$ were reduced by the value of two days' salary; those earning between $\$ 40,000$ and $\$ 59,999$ were reduced by the value of four days' salary; and those earning $\$ 60,000$ or above were reduced by five days' salary. Public safety positions required to maintain $24 / 7$ facilities were exempted from the action. The result was an average salary reduction of approximately $1.5 \%$.
${ }^{(8)}$ State employee salaries were reduced through furloughs and salary reductions in fiscal 2010 by Executive Order 01.01.2009.11 in August 2009. All employees are subject to a temporary salary reduction of five salary days, while non-24/7 employees with salaries between $\$ 40,000$ and $\$ 49,999$ are furloughed for an additional three days, those between $\$ 50,000$ and $\$ 99,999$ for an extra four days; and those earning over $\$ 100,000$ are furloughed for an additional five days. The result was an average salary reduction of approximately $2.6 \%$.
${ }^{(9)}$ State employee salaries were reduced through furloughs and salary reductions in fiscal 2011 by Executive Order 01.01.2010.11 in May 2010. The structure mirrors the fiscal 2010 program.
${ }^{(10)}$ The fiscal 2012 budget provided employees with a one-time $\$ 750$ bonus.
${ }^{(11)}$ The fiscal 2013 ASR provided upgrades to the following classifications: contribution tax auditors, Maryland correctional enterprise industries representative I and II, and regional managers. Two new classes were also created - nutrient management specialist III and forensic behavioral specialists.
${ }^{(12)}$ The fiscal 2014 ASR provided one grade for the following classifications: emergency medical services' communication officer staff; State Department of Assessment and Taxation assessors; personnel classifications at the Maryland Department of Health (MDH), the Department of Human Services, and the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services (DPSCS); and civilian fixed wing pilots, aviation technicians, and inspectors at the Department of State Police. Parole and probation agents at DPSCS that are an agent 1, receive a one-grade increase, agent II and senior currently at base, step 1 or step 2 are moved up to step 3. Personnel officers in the employee relations function at the Department of Budget and Management (DBM) are moved into four-level class series.
${ }^{(13)}$ The fiscal 2015 ASR provided one grade for the following classifications: psychologist positions statewide, DBM operating and capital analysts; park technicians at the Department of Natural Resources; direct care workers and geriatric assistants at MDH; psychiatrists, alcohol and drug counselors, and criminal justice social workers at DPSCS; and loan writers at the Department of Housing and Community Development. The ASR also included funds to provide equity for the planning series at the Department of Planning and MDH and a $\$ 3,000$ hire bonus and a $\$ 3,000$ retention bonus for registered nurses at MDH.
${ }^{(14)}$ The fiscal 2016 ASR provided a one grade increase to wage and hour investigators and administrators at the Employment Standards and Prevailing Wage Programs at the Department of Labor, Licensing, and Regulation.
${ }^{(15)}$ The fiscal 2017 ASR provided for step increases for building security officers; a one grade increase for Department of General Services procurement officers; salary parity with detective for the warrant apprehension job series at DPSCS; step increases for Department of Housing and Urban Development fiscal staff; and polygraph operators at the Department of State Police and DPSCS.
${ }^{(16)}$ Employees received a $2 \%$ increase on January 1, 2019, and a $0.5 \%$ increase on April 1.2019. The April salary increase, as well as a $\$ 500$ bonus effective at the same time, were contingent on fiscal 2018 general fund revenues exceeding the December 2017 estimate by at least $\$ 75$ million, which they did.
${ }^{(17)}$ The fiscal 2019 ASR provided for step increases for airport firefighters, security attendants and licensed practical nurses at Clifton T. Perkins Hospital, fire safety inspectors, and police communication operators.
${ }^{(18)}$ Employees received a 3\% increase on July 1, 2019. With the exception of employees represented by the American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees (AFSCME), employees received a $\%$ increase on January 1, 2020.
${ }^{(19)}$ The fiscal 2020 ASR provided for a one step increase for alcohol and drug counselors, mental health professional counselors, park services associates, registered nurses, epidemiologists, and environmental compliance specialists; and salary restructures for procurement employees and correctional officers.
${ }^{(20)}$ The fiscal 2021 ASR provided for a one step increase for approximately 200 classifications, primarily in those with high vacancy rates.
${ }^{(21)}$ Most employees, with the exception of those represented by AFSCME, will receive a $\$ 500$ bonus on January 1, 2022, if general fund revenues exceed the December 2020 Board of Revenues estimate by $\$ 75$ million or more, and a $1 \%$ increase effective April 1, 2022, if revenues exceed the estimate by $\$ 200$ million or more.
${ }^{(22)}$ The fiscal 2022 ASR provides for targeted salary increases for fiscal specialists, fire protection engineers, and principals; it also increases all State employees hourly wage to at least $\$ 15$ per hour.

Source: Department of Budget and Management; Department of Legislative Services

## Exhibit 22

## Salaries of Selected Maryland State Officials <br> Fiscal 2015-2022

|  | $\underline{2015}$ | $\underline{2016}$ | $\underline{2017}$ | $\underline{2018}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Change } \\ & \underline{2015-2018} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\underline{2019}$ | $\underline{2020}$ | $\underline{2021}$ | $\underline{2022}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Change } \\ & \underline{2019-2022} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constitutional Officers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Governor | \$150,000 | \$165,000 | \$175,000 | \$180,000 | 20.00\% | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | 0.00\% |
| Lieutenant Governor | 125,000 | 137,500 | 145,000 | 149,500 | 19.60\% | 149,500 | 149,500 | 149,500 | 149,500 | 0.00\% |
| Attorney General | 125,000 | 137,500 | 145,500 | 149,500 | 19.60\% | 149,500 | 149,500 | 149,500 | 149,500 | 0.00\% |
| Comptroller | 125,000 | 137,500 | 145,500 | 149,500 | 19.60\% | 149,500 | 149,500 | 149,500 | 149,500 | 0.00\% |
| Treasurer | 125,000 | 137,500 | 145,500 | 149,500 | 19.60\% | 149,500 | 149,500 | 149,500 | 149,500 | 0.00\% |
| Secretary of State | 87,500 | 96,500 | 102,500 | 105,500 | 20.57\% | 105,500 | 105,500 | 105,500 | 105,500 | 0.00\% |
| Deputy Constitutional Officers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attorney General | \$150,521 | \$153,532 | \$153,532 | \$153,532 | 2.00\% | \$153,532 | \$156,603 | \$162,109 | \$167,006 | 8.78\% |
| Comptroller | 139,407 | 142,196 | 154,152 | 154,152 | 10.58\% | 177,977 | 181,537 | 187,919 | 193,595 | 8.78\% |
| Treasurer | 143,625 | 153,532 | 153,532 | 153,532 | 6.90\% | 153,532 | 156,603 | 162,109 | 167,006 | 8.78\% |
| Judiciary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Judge, Court of Appeals | \$171,600 | \$176,433 | \$176,433 | \$176,433 | 2.82\% | 186,433 | \$186,433 | \$191,433 | \$196,433 | 5.36\% |
| Chief Judge, Court of Appeals | 190,600 | 195,433 | 195,433 | 195,433 | 2.54\% | 205,433 | 205,433 | 210,433 | 215,433 | 4.87\% |
| Judge, Special Appeals | 158,800 | 163,633 | 163,633 | 163,633 | 3.04\% | 173,633 | 173,633 | 178,633 | 183,633 | 5.76\% |
| Chief Judge, Court of Special Appeals | 161,900 | 166,633 | 166,633 | 166,633 | 2.92\% | 176,633 | 176,633 | 181,633 | 186,633 | 5.66\% |
| Judge, Circuit Court | 149,600 | 154,433 | 154,433 | 154,433 | 3.23\% | 164,433 | 164,433 | 169,433 | 174,433 | 6.08\% |
| Judge, District Court | 136,500 | 141,333 | 141,333 | 141,333 | 3.54\% | 151,333 | 151,333 | 156,333 | 161,333 | 6.61\% |
| Chief Judge, District Court | 158,800 | 163,633 | 163,633 | 163,633 | 3.04\% | 173,633 | 173,633 | 178,633 | 183,633 | 5.76\% |


|  |  | $\underline{2015}$ | $\underline{2016}$ | $\underline{2017}$ | $\underline{2018}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Change } \\ & \underline{2015-2018} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\underline{2019}$ | $\underline{2020}$ | $\underline{2021}$ | $\underline{2022}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 2019-2022 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cabinet Secretaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Superintendent of Schools | \$210,000 | \$210,000 | \$210,000 | \$236,000 | 12.38\% | \$236,000 | \$240,720 | \$236,000 | \$275,000 | 16.53\% |
|  | Aging | 131,166 | 140,506 | 137,749 | 137,749 | 5.02\% | 153,532 | 156,603 | 162,109 | 167,006 | 8.78\% |
|  | Planning | 131,166 | 140,506 | 137,749 | 137,749 | 5.02\% | 153,532 | 156,603 | 162,109 | 167,006 | 8.78\% |
|  | Veterans | 109,360 | 114,600 | 114,555 | 114,555 | 4.75\% | 114,600 | 116,892 | 121,002 | 124,658 | 8.78\% |
|  | Budget and Management | 174,487 | 177,977 | 177,906 | 177,906 | 1.96\% | 177,977 | 181,537 | 187,919 | 193,595 | 8.78\% |
|  | General Services | 145,377 | 153,532 | 146,743 | 149,678 | 2.96\% | 153,532 | 156,603 | 187,919 | 193,595 | 26.09\% |
|  | Transportation | 174,487 | 177,977 | 177,908 | 177,908 | 1.96\% | 177,977 | 181,537 | 187,919 | 193,595 | 8.78\% |
|  | Natural Resources | 154,733 | 162,563 | 162,499 | 162,499 | 5.02\% | 165,281 | 168,587 | 174,513 | 179,785 | 8.78\% |
|  | Agriculture | 136,631 | 146,360 | 143,488 | 143,488 | 5.02\% | 153,532 | 156,603 | 162,109 | 167,006 | 8.78\% |
|  | Health | 174,487 | 177,977 | 174,417 | 174,417 | -0.04\% | 177,977 | 181,537 | 187,919 | 193,595 | 8.78\% |
| $\omega$ | Human Services | 162,655 | 174,237 | 170,818 | 170,818 | 5.02\% | 177,977 | 181,537 | 187,919 | 193,595 | 8.78\% |
|  | Labor | 157,590 | 165,281 | 165,215 | 165,215 | 4.84\% | 165,281 | 168,587 | 174,513 | 179,785 | 8.78\% |
|  | Public Safety | 174,487 | 162,318 | 162,254 | 162,254 | -7.01\% | 177,977 | 181,537 | 187,919 | 193,595 | 8.78\% |
|  | Higher Education Commission | 149,711 | 159,433 | 160,710 | 160,710 | 7.35\% | 165,281 | 168,587 | 174,513 | 179,785 | 8.78\% |
|  | Housing | 156,307 | 159,433 | 156,245 | 156,245 | -0.04\% | 165,281 | 168,587 | 174,513 | 179,785 | 8.78\% |
|  | Commerce | 167,078 | 177,977 | 175,462 | 175,462 | 5.02\% | 177,977 | 181,537 | 187,919 | 193,595 | 8.78\% |
|  | Environment | 148,163 | 158,713 | 155,599 | 155,599 | 5.02\% | 165,281 | 168,587 | 187,919 | 193,595 | 17.13\% |
|  | Juvenile Services | 157,761 | 168,994 | 169,059 | 169,059 | 7.16\% | 177,977 | 181,537 | 187,919 | 193,595 | 8.78\% |
|  | State Police | 162,843 | 171,083 | 171,015 | 171,015 | 5.02\% | 177,977 | 181,537 | 271,215 | 279,407 | 56.99\% |

Note: Salaries for cabinet secretaries reflect the top end of the range for the respective position.

Source: Budget Bill Executive Pay Plan for Cabinet Secretaries, adjusted for Constitutional Officers, and Judiciary when compensation commissions have met after session.

## Exhibit 23

## Local Legislative Salaries

Fiscal 2021

| County | County Council or <br> Commissioners | President, County, <br> Board/Council, or <br> Commissioner |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Allegany | $\$ 33,639$ | $\$ 34,765$ |
| Anne Arundel | $38760 *$ | $43350 *$ |
| Baltimore City | $69450 *$ | 122,387 |
| Baltimore | 62,500 | 70,000 |
| Calvert | 45,000 | 47,500 |
| Caroline | 15,000 | 16,000 |
| Carroll | 45,000 | 45,000 |
| Cecil | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| Charles | 48,960 | 59,160 |
| Dorchester | 16,000 | 17,000 |
| Frederick | 22,500 | 22,500 |
| Garrett | 32,500 | 32,500 |
| Harford | 45,100 | 48,729 |
| Howard | 66,174 | 69,674 |
| Kent | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Montgomery | 142,056 | 156,261 |
| Prince George's | 126,062 | 131,468 |
| Queen Anne's | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| St. Mary's | 39,544 | 44,746 |
| Somerset | 16,000 | 18,000 |
| Talbot | 14,400 | 15,400 |
| Washington | 38,000 | 41,000 |
| Wicomico | 16,000 | 18,000 |
| Worcester | 26,000 | 26,000 |
| Median | $\$ 33,070$ | $\$ 34,765$ |
|  |  |  |

* Data from fiscal 2019

Source: Maryland Association of Counties

## Exhibit 24

## City and County Council Salaries

## Fiscal 2006-2018

|  | $\underline{2006}$ | $\underline{2010}$ | $\underline{2014}$ | $\underline{2018}$ | $\underline{2022}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Change } \\ & \underline{2018-2022} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anne Arundel County |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Member | \$36,000 | \$36,000 | \$36,000 | \$36,000 | n/a | - |
| Chairman | 40,500 | 40,500 | 40,500 | 40,500 | n/a | - |
| Baltimore City |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Member | 48,000 | 58,425 | 61,383 | 67,756 | n/a | - |
| President | 88,000 | 100,450 | 105,535 | 116,490 | 122,387 | 5.1\% |
| Baltimore County |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Member | 45,000 | 54,000 | 54,000 | 62,500 | 62,500 | 0.0\% |
| Chairman | 50,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 0.0\% |
| Harford County |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Member | 31,000 | 34,205 | 36,210 | 37,513 | 45,100 | 20.2\% |
| Chairman | 34,000 | 37,205 | 39,718 | 41,146 | 48,729 | 18.4\% |
| Howard County |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Member | 33,800 | 52,892 | 54,600 | 62,985 | 66,174 | 5.1\% |
| Chairman | 34,800 | 53,892 | 55,600 | 66,485 | 69,674 | 4.8\% |
| Montgomery County |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Member | 76,654 | 94,353 | 104,022 | 128,519 | 142,056 | 10.5\% |
| Chairman | 84,320 | 103,786 | 114,425 | 141,371 | 156,261 | 10.5\% |
| Prince George's County |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Member | 73,000 | 97,087 | 102,486 | 117,347 | 126,062 | 7.4\% |
| Chairman | 78,000 | 102,087 | 107,486 | 123,214 | 131,468 | 6.7\% |

Source: Maryland Association of Counties

## Exhibit 25 <br> Mayor and County Executive Salaries Fiscal 2010-2022

|  | $\underline{2010}$ | $\underline{2014}$ | $\underline{2018}$ | $\underline{2022}$ | \% Change $\underline{2018-2022}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anne Arundel County* | \$130,000 | \$130,000 | \$139,000 | \$142,000 | 2.2\% |
| Baltimore City | 151,700 | 159,380 | 175,926 | 184,832 | 5.1\% |
| Baltimore County | 150,000 | 150,000 | 175,000 | 175,000 | 0.0\% |
| Cecil ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 98,000 | 98,000 | 98,000 | 0.0\% |
| Frederick ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  | 95,000 | 95,000 | 0.0\% |
| Harford County | 99,317 | 105,136 | 134,677 | 145,297 | 7.9\% |
| Howard County | 158,675 | 163,482 | 180,492 | 195,800 | 8.5\% |
| Montgomery County | 175,000 | 180,250 | 192,769 | 203,417 | 5.5\% |
| Prince George's County | 174,539 | 180,474 | 209,998 | 215,998 | 2.9\% |
| Wicomico ${ }^{(3)}$ | 85,000 | 85,000 | 85,000 | 85,000 | 0.0\% |

* Fiscal 2022 data was not available, fiscal 2020 is shown.
${ }^{(1)}$ The first County Executive in Cecil County was elected in November 2012 and started in December 2012. The first full year of salary data is available starting in fiscal 2014.
${ }^{(2)}$ The first County Executive in Frederick County was elected in November 2014 and started in December 2014. The first full year of salary data is available starting in fiscal 2016.
${ }^{(3)}$ The first County Executive in Wicomico County was elected in November 2006 and started in December 2006. The first full year of salary data is available starting in fiscal 2008.

Source: Maryland Association of Counties

## Exhibit 26

## Income Levels for Maryland's Jurisdictions

## Calendar 2020 Median Household Income and

 Calendar 2019 Per Capita Personal Income| County | Median <br> Household | Percent of State | Rank | Per Capita Personal | Percent of State | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allegany | \$48,170 | 55.60\% | 23 | \$41,454 | 64.13\% | 23 |
| Anne Arundel | 100,916 | 116.47\% | 8 | 69,035 | 106.80\% | 4 |
| Baltimore City | 49,780 | 57.45\% | 12 | 53,378 | 82.58\% | 15 |
| Baltimore | 76,972 | 88.84\% | 21 | 62,976 | 97.43\% | 10 |
| Calvert | 111,056 | 128.18\% | 2 | 63,976 | 98.97\% | 8 |
| Caroline | 60,143 | 69.41\% | 17 | 46,883 | 72.53\% | 21 |
| Carroll | 101,810 | 117.50\% | 6 | 64,288 | 99.46\% | 6 |
| Cecil | 75,307 | 86.92\% | 14 | 49,749 | 76.96\% | 17 |
| Charles | 102,510 | 118.31\% | 5 | 57,774 | 89.38\% | 14 |
| Dorchester | 48,709 | 56.22\% | 22 | 47,699 | 73.79\% | 20 |
| Frederick | 102,951 | 118.82\% | 4 | 64,147 | 99.24\% | 7 |
| Garrett | 59,253 | 68.39\% | 19 | 47,735 | 73.85\% | 19 |
| Harford | 91,492 | 105.60\% | 9 | 60,266 | 93.23\% | 11 |
| Howard | 121,329 | 140.03\% | 1 | 79,253 | 122.61\% | 2 |
| Kent | 65,615 | 75.73\% | 16 | 63,141 | 97.68\% | 9 |
| Montgomery | 110,012 | 126.97\% | 3 | 90,139 | 139.45\% | 1 |
| Prince George's | 85,357 | 98.51\% | 11 | 50,625 | 78.32\% | 16 |
| Queen Anne's | 101,350 | 116.97\% | 7 | 66,733 | 103.24\% | 5 |
| St. Mary's | 89,123 | 102.86\% | 24 | 58,582 | 90.63\% | 13 |
| Somerset | 38,731 | 44.70\% | 10 | 31,668 | 48.99\% | 24 |
| Talbot | 75,714 | 87.39\% | 13 | 74,711 | 115.58\% | 3 |
| Washington | 59,785 | 69.00\% | 18 | 48,650 | 75.26\% | 18 |
| Wicomico | 54,351 | 62.73\% | 20 | 42,547 | 65.82\% | 22 |
| Worcester | 65,821 | 75.97\% | 15 | 60,222 | 93.17\% | 12 |
| Maryland | \$86,644 | 100.00\% |  | \$64,640 | 100.00\% |  |

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Commerce Bureau of Economic Analysis

## 2021

Exhibit 27
Legislator Salary as a Percent of State Median Household Income


Note:

- Salaries for Kansas, Kentucky, Montana, Nevada, Utah, and Wyoming are based on a daily rate.
- Salaries for Kentucky, Maine, Montana, Nevada, and Wyoming are based on the longest of variable sessions or where the legislature meets every other year. Daily rates made be paid on a session day or legislative day basis. This analysis assumes payment for the length of the session.
- Salaries for Vermont are weekly for the legislative session which has no time-limit. Average session lengths are estimated at 15 weeks based on information on the Vermont legislature website.
- Salaries for New Mexico are set at $\$ 0$. Legislators are entitled to a per diem. In other states, legislators may also be entitled to a per diem, but those are excluded from this analysis.
- Salaries in Virginia are $\$ 18,000$ for Senators and $\$ 17,640$ for delegates. The higher figure is used in this analysis.
- $\quad$ State median household income is derived from American Community Survey data for 2021.

Source: National Conference of State Legislatures; U.S. Census Bureau; Department of Legislative Services

Exhibit 28

## Legislator Salaries as a Percentage of Average Per Capita Income

Calendar 2021


Note: Per capita personal income is total personal income divided by total midyear population from the U.S. Census Bureau. All other notes on legislative salaries in Exhibit 26 apply to this exhibit.

Source: National Conference of State Legislatures; U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis; Department of Legislative Services

Exhibit 29
In-state Expense Reimbursements
Fiscal 2018-2021

|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Session | Interim | Session | Interim | Session | Interim | Session | Interim |
| Lodging | \$1,471,288 | \$3,148 | \$1,594,795 | \$13,117 | \$1,558,448 | \$2,997 | \$1,390,730 | \$593 |
| \% Change over prior year | 2.18\% | 50.77\% | 8.39\%\% | 316.68\% | -2.28\% | -77.15\% | -10.76\% | -80.21\% |
| Meals | \$455,811 | \$6,437 | \$500,862 | \$1,834 | \$422,041 | \$6,450 | \$447,419 | \$15 |
| \% Change over prior year | -1.59\% | -24.66\% | 9.88\% | -71.51\% | -15.74\% | 251.69\% | 6.01\% | -99.7\% |
| Mileage | \$155,573 | \$30,361 | \$164,208 | \$14,272 | \$113,789 | \$29,519 | \$138,757 | \$329 |
| \% Change over prior year | 2.87\% | -8.56\% | 5.55\% | -52.99\% | -30.70\% | 106.83\% | 21.94\% | -98.89\% |
| Total | \$2,082672 | \$39,946 | \$2,259,865 | \$29,223 | \$2,094,278 | \$38,966 | \$1,976,906 | \$937 |
| \% Change | 2.01\% | -8.87\% | 8.51\% | -26.84\% | -7.33\% | 33.34\% | -5.60\% | -97.60\% |

Source: Department of Legislative Services

# Exhibit 30 <br> Per Diem Lodging Rates <br> 90-day Rentals 

## 2017 and 2021 General Assembly Sessions

| Lodging | 2017 |  | 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rates | Legislators | $\underline{\text { Rates }}$ | Legislators |
| Historic Inns | \$101 | 23 | \$106 | 16 |
| Hotel Annapolis - Graduate ${ }^{1}$ | 101 | 24 | 106 | 14 |
| Annapolis Waterfront Hotel ${ }^{2}$ | 101 | 26 | 106 | 48 |
| Residence Inn by Marriott ${ }^{3}$ | 101 | 7 | 106 | 6 |
| Sheraton Barcelo | 101 | 6 |  | - |
| Doubletree | 101 | 1 | - | - |
| Annapolis Crowne Plaza | - | - | 106 | 1 |
| Hilton Garden Inn ${ }^{4}$ | 101 |  | 106 | 3 |
| Westin | 101 | 28 | 106 | 30 |
| Apt./House/Condo | 101 | 24 | 93-106 | 35 |
| Occasional Lodging | 10 | 24 | 106 | 10 |
| No Submission for Lodging |  | 21 |  | 25 |
| Total |  | 188 |  | 188 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Previously Lowes Annapolis |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Previously Annapolis Marriott Waterfront |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Previously Marriott Residence |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{4}$ Previously O'Callahan |  |  |  |  |
| Source: Department of Legislati | vices |  |  |  |

## Exhibit 31 <br> Meal Claims of Legislators For the 2018 through 2021 Sessions of The Maryland General Assembly

| Meal Limit | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| Claimed 100\% of daily limit | 149 | 79\% | 147 | 78\% | 139 | 74\% | 135 | 72\% |
| Claimed 76-99\% of daily limit | 10 | 5\% | 19 | 10\% | 17 | 9\% | 17 | 9\% |
| Claimed 51-75\% of daily limit | 8 | 4\% | 11 | 6\% | 9 | 5\% | 5 | 3\% |
| Claimed 26-50\% of daily limit | 2 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% |  | 1\% | 12 | 6\% |
| Claimed 1-25\% of daily limit | 0 | 0\% |  |  | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Claimed 0\% of daily limit | 19 | 10\% | 9 |  | 22 | 12\% | 19 | 10\% |
|  | 188 | 100\% |  | 100\% | 188 | 100\% | 188 | 100\% |

Note: $\quad \$ 47$ per diem for 2018 and 2019
$\$ 56$ per diem for 2020 and 2021
Numbers may not sum to total due to rounding.
Source: Department of Legislative Services

## Exhibit 32

Out-of-state Travel Costs
Fiscal 2018-2021

|  | $\underline{2018}$ | Trip Average | $\underline{2019}$ | Trip Average | $\underline{2020}$ | Trip <br> $\underline{\text { Average }}$ | $\underline{2021}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Trip } \\ \text { Average } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meals and Lodging | \$42,597 | \$906 | \$16,281 | \$440 | \$44,565 | \$743 | \$497 | \$166 |
| Transportation | 19,656 | 418 | 18,474 | 499 | 25,868 | 431 | - | - |
| Registrations | 32,450 | 690 | 15,445 | 417 | 32,850 | 548 | 118 | 39 |
| Total | \$94,703 | \$2,015 | \$50,200 | \$1,357 | \$103,283 | \$1,721 | \$615 | \$205 |
| Members (Cumulative) | 47 |  | 37 |  | 60 |  | 3 |  |

Source: Department of Legislative Services

## Exhibit 33 <br> Summary of Legislative Out-of-state Travel Costs

Fiscal Year

| 1995 | $\$ 48,969$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1996 | 94,058 |
| 1997 | 82,687 |
| 1998 | 94,704 |

1999 93,565
$2000 \quad 167,115$
$2001 \quad 175,484$
2002

2003
2004
2005
2006

2007
2008
2009
2010

2011
2012
2013
2014 38,448
2015 34,701
2016 75,493
2017 85,010
2018 94,703

2019 50,200
$2020 \quad 103,283$
$2021 \quad 615$

## Exhibit 34 <br> Medical Insurance for Legislators (As of August 8, 2021)

|  | Health |  | Prescription |  | Dental |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Members | $\%$ of Total <br> Chamber | Members | \% of Total <br> Chamber | Members | \% of Tota <br> Chamber |
| Participation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Senate | 28 | 60\% | 28 | 60\% | 29 | 62\% |
| House | 101 | 72\% | 97 | 69\% | 97 | 69\% |
| Total | 129 | 69\% | 125 | 66\% | 126 | 67\% |
| Coverage Levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Senate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individual | 11 | 23\% | 12 | 26\% | 13 | 28\% |
| 2 or more | 17 | 36\% | 16 | 32\% | 16 | 34\% |
| Total | 28 | 60\% | 28 | 60\% | 29 | 62\% |
| House |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individual | 28 | 20\% | 24 | 17\% | 28 | 20\% |
| 2 or more | 73 | 52\% | 73 | 52\% | 69 | 49\% |
| Total | 101 | 72\% | 97 | 69\% | 97 | 69\% |
| Combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individual | 39 | 21\% | 36 | 19\% | 41 | 22\% |
| 2 or more | 90 | 48\% | 89 | 47\% | 85 | 45\% |
| Total | 129 | 69\% | 125 | 66\% | 126 | 67\% |

## Health Subsidy

$\$ 355.46$ to $\$ 426.34$ per month for single coverage.
$\$ 843.42$ to $\$ 1048.54$ per month for two or more.

## Prescription Subsidy

$\$ 180.30$ per month for single coverage.
$\$ 299.22$ to $\$ 360.58$ per month for two or more.

## Dental Subsidy

$\$ 8.17$ to $\$ 12.32$ per month for single coverage.
$\$ 14.22$ to $\$ 46.18$ per month for two or more.

Note: Numbers may not sum to total due to rounding.
Source: Department of Legislative Services, September 2021

| Exhibit 35 <br> Accrued Retirement Service Credits of Active Maryland Legislators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{0 t o 4}$ | 4 to 8 | 8 to 12 | $\underline{12 \text { to } 16}$ | 16 to 20 | $\underline{20}$ to 22.25 | $\underline{22.25+}$ |
| Years of Creditable Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Members | 62 | 56 | 20 | 20 | 13 | 0 | 16 |
| Average Age | 47.4 | 54.5 | 54.6 | 57.5 | 63.1 | n/a | 71.0 |
| Maximum Retirement Benefit |  |  | \% to $36 \%$ | 36\% to 48\% | 48\% to 60\% | 60\% to $66.67 \%$ | 66.67\% |
| Full Service Retirement ${ }^{(1)}$ | 0 |  | 5 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 15 |
| Reduced Services Retirement ${ }^{(2)}$ | 0 |  | 7 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| Vested Allowance | 0 | 0 |  | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| No Vested |  |  | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| ${ }^{(1)}$ Full Service Retirement payable at (a) age 60 with a minimum of eight years of seryice, if joined the Legislative Pension Plan (LPP) befor January 14, 2015; or (b) age 62 with a minimum of eight years of service, if joining the LPP on or after January 14, 2015. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{(2)}$ Reduced Service Retirement payable (a) age 50 with a minimum of eight years of service, if joined the LPP before January 14, 2015; or (b) age 55 wit a minimum of eight years of service, if joining the LPP on or after January 14, 2015. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: State Retirement Agency; Department of Legislative Services, November 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Exhibit 36 <br> Retirement Status of Retired Maryland Legislators by Plan

|  | Number | Average Age | Average <br> Monthly Benefit | Average <br> Years of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 Plan |  |  |  |  |
| Retiree | 239 | 74.4 | \$1,758.20 | 14.8 |
| Beneficiary | 57 | 77.2 | 911.69 | n/a |
| Bifurcated Plan |  |  |  |  |
| Retiree | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Beneficiary | 1 | 102.0 | \$390.93 | n/a |
| 1966 Plan |  |  |  |  |
| Retiree | 4 | 87.3 | \$322.91 | 11.0 |
| Beneficiary | 9 | 82.2 | 453.97 | n/a |

[^3]
## Exhibit 37

Comparison of Maryland State Retirement and Pension Systems

| General <br> Assembly | Governor <br> Mandatory | Jutges |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Condition of <br> employment |

Employees and
Teachers
Pen

| Law <br> Enforcement <br> Officers' System | Correctional <br> Officers' |
| :--- | :---: |
| Cystem <br> Condition of <br> employment | Condition of <br> employment |

## Vesting

Hired on or Before 6/30/11

Hired on or After 7/1/11; or Judges Hired on or After 7/1/12
$\underset{\text { Contributions }{ }^{2}}{\text { Employee }}$

| $7.0 \%$ of salary, <br> for 22 years, <br> 3 months | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| 8.0\% of salary |  |
| for 16 years |  |
| (was $6.0 \%$ ) |  |


| ary, |
| :--- |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { (was 5.0\% salary } \\ \text { ( }\end{array}$ |

$8.0 \%$ of salary
7.0\% of salary
$5.0 \%$ of salary

Service Retirement Conditions

| Hired on or Before | Age 60 ; or | Age 55 | Age 60 | Age 62 or | Age 50 or | Age 50 or | 20 years of |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $6 / 30 / 11 ;$ or | age 50 with |  |  | 30 years | 22 years of | 25 years of | service or |
| Legislators with | 8 years, reduced |  |  | of service; | service | service | age 55 with |
| Creditable Service | benefit |  |  | or age 55 with |  | 5 years of |  |
| Before $1 / 14 / 15$; or |  |  | reduced benefit |  | service |  |  |
| a Governor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | General Assembly | Governor | Judges | Employees and Teachers <br> Pension Systems ${ }^{1}$ | State Police | Law Enforcement Officers' System | Correctional Officers' System |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hired on or After <br> 7/1/11; or Judges Hired on or After 7/1/12; or Legislators with No Creditable Service Before 1/14/15; or a Governor Serving on or Before 1/21/15 | Age 62; or age 55 with 8 years, reduced benefit | Age 62 | Age 60 with 5 years of service | Age 65 with 10 years of service or Rule of $90^{3}$; or age 60 with 15 years, reduced benefit | Age 50 or 25 years of service | No change | Age 55 with 10 years of service |
| Allowance Hired on or Before 6/30/11 | $3.0 \%$ of current legislative salary per year of service | $1 / 3$ of <br> current annual salary for one term; or $1 / 2$ of current annual salary for two terms | $2 / 3$ of active judge salary at 16 years | $1.2 \%$ of salary for years of service prior to 7/1/98; plus $1.8 \%$ of salary for years of service on or after 7/1/98 (calculated on highest 3 consecutive years of salary) | 2.55\% per year of service (calculated on highest 3 years of salary) | 2.0\% per year if subject to the LEOPS <br> modified pension benefit; otherwise 2.3\% for first 30 years and $1.0 \%$ for each year thereafter (calculated on highest 3 consecutive years of salary) | $1.8 \%$ per year of service (calculated on highest 3 years of salary) |
| Hired on or After $7 / 1 / 11$ | No change | No change | No change | 1.5\% of salary (calculated on highest 5 consecutive years of salary) | Calculated on highest 5 years of salary | Calculated on highest 5 consecutive years of salary | Calculated on highest 5 years of salary |


|  | General Assembly | Governor | Judges | Employees and Teachers Pension Systems ${ }^{1}$ | State Police | Law <br> Enforcement Officers' System | Correctional Officers' System |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post-retirement Adjustments ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Credit Earned on or Before 6/30/11 | Based on salary of active legislators | Based on salary of current Governor | Based on salary of active judges | Limited to 3.0\% of initial benefit | Unlimited annual cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) | Limited to 3.0\% of initial benefit | Unlimited annual COLA |
| Service Credit Earned on or After 7/1/11 | No change | chang | No change | Limited to 2.5\% in any year that the system earns the assumed rate of return; otherwise limited to $1.0 \%$ | Limited to 2.5\% in any year that the system earns the assumed rate of return; otherwise limited to $1.0 \%$ | Limited to 2.5\% in any year that the system earns the assumed rate of return; otherwise limited to $1.0 \%$ | Limited to $2.5 \%$ in any year that the system earns the assumed rate of return; otherwise limited to $1.0 \%$ |
| Ordinary Disability Retirement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conditions | Active legislator must have 8 years of service and be certified disabled by the BOT medical board | General <br> Assembly adopts resolution by a $3 / 5$ vote that Governor is unable to perform duties of office due to physical or mental disability | Incapacitated for duty | Incapacitated for duty after 5 years eligibility service | Incapacitated for duty after 5 years eligibility service | Incapacitated for duty after 5 years eligibility service | Incapacitated for duty after 5 years eligibility service |

Exhibit 38

## State-by-state Comparison of Retirement Benefits Ranked by Annual 20-year Benefit

|  | Ranking | State | Contribution |  | 12-year Benefit |  |  | 20-year Benefit |  |  | Annual Benefit Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Salary | $\underline{\text { Rate }}$ | Annual | Monthly | \% of Salary | Annual | Monthly | \% of Salary |  |
|  | 1 | Illinois* | \$69,464 | 11.50\% | \$31,259 | \$2,605 | 45.00\% | \$59,044 | \$4,920 | 85.00\% | $3.00 \%, \quad 3.50 \%, \quad 4.00 \%$, $4.50 \%, 5.00 \%$ capped at $85 \%$ of FAS |
|  | 2 | Texas | 7,200 | 9.50\% | 34,501 | 2,875 | 479.18\% | 57,502 | 4,792 | 798.64\% | $2.30 \%$ x District Court judge's monthly salary $(\$ 10,417)$ x years |
|  | 3 | New York* | 110,000 | 3.00\% | 33,000 | 2,750 | 30.00\% | 55,000 | 4,583 | 50.00\% | 2.50\% |
|  | 4 | Pennsylvania* | 90,335 | 6.25\% | 27,101 | 2,258 | 30.00\% | 54,201 | 4,517 | 60.00\% | 2.00 or $2.50 \%$ |
|  | 5 | $P A^{*}$ Reform | 90,335 | 9.30\% | 27,101 | 2,258 | 30.00\% | 45,168 | 3,764 | 50.00\% | 2.00 or $2.50 \%$ |
| ur | 6 | Hawaii | 62,604 | 9.80\% | 26,294 | 2,191 | 42.00\% | 43,823 | 3,652 | 70.00\% | 2.00\% |
|  | 7 | IL* Reform | 69,464 | 11.50\% | 31,259 | 2,605 | 45.00\% | 41,678 | 3,473 | 60.00\% | $3.00 \%, \quad 3.50 \%, \quad 4.00 \%$, $4.50 \%, 5.00 \%$, capped at 60\% of FAS |
|  | 8 | Oklahoma | 47,500 | 3.50\% | 22,800 | 1,900 | 48.00\% | 38,000 | 3,167 | 80.00\% | 1.90 or $4.00 \%$ |
|  | 9 | HI Reform | 62,604 | 9.80\% | 22,537 | 1,878 | 36.00\% | 37,562 | 3,130 | 60.00\% | 1.75\% |
|  | 10 | Massachusetts* | 70,536 | 9.00\% | 21,161 | 1,763 | 30.00\% | 35,268 | 2,939 | 50.00\% | 2.50\% |
|  | 11 | Maryland | 50,330 | 7.00\% | 18,119 | 1,510 | 36.00\% | 30,198 | 2,517 | 60.00\% | 3.00\% |
|  | 12 | Ohio* | 67,492 | 10.00\% | 17,818 | 1,485 | 26.40\% | 29,696 | 2,475 | 44.00\% | 2.20\%, 2.50\% |
|  | 13 | New Jersey* | 49,000 | 7.50\% | 17,640 | 1,470 | 36.00\% | 29,400 | 2,450 | 60.00\% | 3.00\% |
|  | 14 | Indiana | 28,103 | 5.00\% | 28,103 | 2,342 | 100.00\% | 28,103 | 2,342 | 100.00\% | 1/12 of FAS |
|  | 15 | Minnesota | 46,500 | 9.00\% | 15,066 | 1,256 | 32.40\% | 25,110 | 2,093 | 54.00\% | 2.70\% |
|  | 16 | Washington | 56,881 | 7.90\% | 13,651 | 1,138 | 24.00\% | 22,752 | 1,896 | 40.00\% | 1\%, $2 \%$ |
|  | 17 | New Mexico | - | 600/year | 13,548 | 1,129 | 0.00\% | 22,580 | 1,882 | 0.00\% | \$1,129 x years of service |
|  | 18 | Tennessee | 24,316 | 0.00\% | 13,467 | 1,122 | 55.38\% | 22,445 | 1,870 | 92.30\% | $\$ 93.52 /$ month $x$ years of service, capped at $90 \%$ of FAS |



| Ranking | State | SalaryContribution <br> Rate |  | 12-year Benefit |  |  | 20-year Benefit |  |  | Annual Benefit Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Annual | Monthly | \% of Salary | Annual | Monthly | \% of Salary |  |
| 46 | MO Reform | 35,915 | 4.00\% | 1,496 | 125 | 4.17\% | 2,494 | 208 | 6.94\% | (monthly pay/24) $x$ years of service |
| 47 | Nevada | 165/day | 15.00\% | 300 | 25 | n/a | 500 | 42 | n/a | \$25 x years of service |

FAS: final average salary
*Denotes state with full-time legislature (10)
Note: The following states do not provide a defined benefit plan: Alabama; California*; Louisiana; Nebraska; New Hampshire; North Dakota; Rhode Island; South Dakota; Vermont; and Wyoming. The following states did not provide sufficient information: Kansas; Kentucky; Michigan*; and Montana.

Source: National Conference of State Legislatures; Department of Legislative Services

## Exhibit 39 <br> State-by-state Comparison of Legislator Defined Benefit Contribution Rate

| Ranking | State | Rate | Ranking | State | Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Nevada | 15.00\% | 15 | Wisconsin* | 6.75\% |
| 2 | Arizona Reform ${ }^{(1)}$ | 13.00\% | 16 | Iowa | 6.29\% |
| 3 | Illinois* | 11.50\% | 17 | Pennsylvania*(3) | 6.25\% |
| 4 | South Carolina | 11.00\% | 18 | Kansas | 6.00\% |
| 5 | Colorado | 10.00\% | 18 | Kentucky | 6.00\% |
| 5 | Ohio* | 10.00\% | 19 | Arkansas | 5.00\% |
| 6 | Hawaii | 9.80\% | 19 | Delaware | 5.00\% |
| 7 | Texas | 9.50\% | 19 | Indiana ${ }^{(1)}$ | 5.00\% |
| 8 | Pennsylvania* Reform ${ }^{(2)}$ | 9.30\% | 19 | Tennessee Reform | 5.00\% |
| 9 | Massachusetts* | 9.00\% | 19 | Virginia | 5.00\% |
| 9 | Minnesota ${ }^{(1)}$ | 9.00\% |  | West Virginia | 5.00\% |
| 9 | Mississippi | 9.00\% | 20 | Missouri Reform | 4.00\% |
| 10 | Montana | 7.90\% | 21 | Georgia | 3.75\% |
| 10 | Washington | 7.90\% | 22 | Oklahoma | 3.50\% |
| 11 | Maine | 7.65\% | 23 | Connecticut | 3.00\% |
| 12 | New Jersey* | 7.50\% | 23 | Florida* | 3.00\% |
| 13 | Idaho | 7.16\% | 23 | New York* | 3.00\% |
| 14 | Arizona ${ }^{(1)}$ | 7.00\% | 24 | Missouri | 0.00\% |
| 14 | Maryland | 7.00\% | 24 | Oregon | 0.00\% |
| 14 | North Carolina | 7.00\% | 24 | Tennessee | 0.00\% |
| 15 | Alaska ${ }^{(1)}$ | 6.75\% | 24 | Utah ${ }^{(1)}$ | 0.00\% |

* Denotes state with full-time legislature.
${ }^{(1)}$ These states offer a defined benefit plan to legislators elected before a certain date, but legislators elected after a certain date are offered only a defined contribution plan.
${ }^{(2)}$ Legislators in Pennsylvania with no service credit before 2010 have a choice to contribute $9.30 \%$ for a benefit multiplier of $2.5 \%$ or contribute $6.25 \%$ for a benefit multiplier of $2 \%$.
${ }^{(3)}$ Legislators in Pennsylvania with service credit before 2010 contribute $6.25 \%$ for a benefit multiplier of $3 \%$.
Source: National Conference of State Legislatures; Department of Legislative Services


# Exhibit 40 <br> State-by-state Comparison of Benefit Multiplier 

| Ranking | State | Benefit Multiplier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | South Carolina | 4.82\% |
| 2 | North Carolina | 4.02\% |
| 3 | Oklahoma | 4.00\% |
| 3 | Arizona ${ }^{(1)}$ | 4.00\% Capped at $80.00 \%$ of Final Average Salary (FAS) |
| 4 | Illinois* | 3.00 to $5.00 \%$ Capped at $85.00 \%$ of FAS |
| 4 | Illinois* Reform | 3.00 to $5.00 \%$ Capped at $60.00 \%$ of FAS |
| 5 | Florida* | 3.00\% |
| 5 | Arizona Reform ${ }^{(1)}$ | 3.00\% Capped at $75.00 \%$ of FAS |
| 5 | Maryland | $\mathbf{3 . 0 0 \%}$ Capped at $66.67 \%$ of Salary of Active Legislators |
| 5 | New Jersey* | $3.00 \%$ Capped at $66.67 \%$ of FAS |
| 6 | Kentucky | 2.75\% |
| 7 | Minnesota ${ }^{(1)}$ | 2.70\% |
| 8 | Colorado | 2.50\% |
| 8 | Massachusetts* | 2.50\% |
| 9 | Texas ${ }^{(2)}$ | 2.30\% |
| 10 | Ohio* | 2.20 to $2.50 \%$ |
| 11 | Alaska ${ }^{(1)}$ | 2.00 to $2.50 \%$ |
| 11 | Pennsylvania* | 2.00 to $2.50 \%$ |
| 11 | Pennsylvania* Reform | 2.00 to $2.50 \%$ |
| 12 | Wisconsin* | 2.00 to 2.165\% |
| 13 | Arkansas | 2.00\% |
| 13 | Hawaii | 2.00\% |
| 13 | Idaho | 2.00\% |
| 13 | Iowa | 2.00\% |
| 13 | Maine | 2.00\% |
| 13 | Mississippi | 2.00\% |
| 13 | Oklahoma Reform | 2.00\% |
| 13 | West Virginia | 2.00\% |
| 14 | Delaware | 1.85 to 2.00\% |
| 15 | New York* | 1.66 to $2.00 \%$ |
| 16 | Washington | 1.00 to $2.00 \%$ |
| 17 | Hawaii | 1.75\% |
| 17 | Kansas | 1.75\% |
| 18 | Virginia | 1.65\% |
| 19 | Oregon | 1.50\% |
| 20 | Connecticut | 1.33\% |

${ }^{(1)}$ These states offer a defined benefit plan to legislators elected before a certain date, but legislators elected after a certain date are offered only a defined contribution plan.
${ }^{(2)}$ Texas benefit calculated based on District Judge salary.

* Denotes state with full-time legislature.

Source: National Conference of State Legislatures; Department of Legislative Services
Exhibit 41Comparison of Maryland's Retirement Eligibility Criteria with Other StatesAge 60 with 8 Years of Service - Maryland Legislators with Service Before 1/14/2015Age 62 with 8 Years of Service - Maryland Legislators with No Service Before 1/14/2015
States That Require the Same Retirement Age and Years of Service as MarylandAge 60 with 8 Years of Service
MississippiSouth Carolina
Texas
Age 62 with 8 Years of Service
Georgia
States That Require a Lower Retirement Age and Years of ServiceMissouri - age 55 with 6 years of serviceHawaii - age 55 with 5 years of serviceVirginia - age 55 with 5 years of serviceTennessee - age 55 with 4 years of service
Pennsylvania - age 55 with 3 years of service
States That Require a Higher Retirement Age and Years of Service
Delaware - age 65 with 10 years of service
Arkansas - age 65 with 10 years of service
Indiana - age 65 with 10 years of service
Washington - age 65 with 10 years of service
Other Comparisons of Retirement Age and Years of Service Requirements
Retirement Age - Minimum
Age 67-1 state
Age 65-16 states
Age $62-8$ states (including Maryland)
Age $60-10$ states (including Maryland)
Age $55-8$ states
Age 50-1 state
Years of Service - Minimum
10 years of service - 11 states
8 years of service - 7 states (including Maryland)
6 years of service -4 states
5 years of service - 13 states

[^4]
# Exhibit 42 <br> Legislator Salary and Fringe Benefits by Year of Service Fiscal 2022 Active Legislator 

\left.|  | Legislative Service |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Up to 22 Years |  |  |
| Greater Than 22 Years |  |  |
| and 3 Months |  |  |$\right\}$

BC/BS: BlueCross/BlueShield
PPO: Preferred Provider Organization
${ }^{(1)}$ Assumes fringe benefits of active legislator, non-Medicare eligible member, and spouse for calendar 2021.
Note: Legislators can earn no more than 22 years and three months of creditable service for retirement. Retirement contributions cease at this point.

Source: Department of Budget and Management; Department of Legislative Services

# Exhibit 43 <br> Comparison of Benefits Between a Legislator and State Employee 

Fiscal 2022

| Years of Service | Legislator 2018 Resolution |  | State Employee (Pre-2011 Reform) |  | State Employee (Post-2011 Reform) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | $\underline{12}$ | $\underline{8}$ | $\underline{12}$ | $\underline{8}$ | $\underline{12}$ |
| Benefits While Serving ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salary | \$50,330 | \$50,330 | \$50,330 | \$50,330 | \$50,330 | \$50,330 |
| Less Fringe Benefit Contributions | -\$6,893 | -\$6,893 | -\$6,893 | -\$6,893 | -\$6,893 | -\$6,893 |
| Adjusted Salary | \$43,437 | \$43,437 | \$43,437 | \$43,437 | \$43,437 | \$43,437 |
| Fringe Benefits | \$22,701 | \$22,701 | \$22,701 | \$22,701 | \$22,701 | \$22,701 |
| Total Salary and Fringes | \$66,138 | \$66,138 | \$66,138 | \$66,138 | \$66,138 | \$66,138 |
| Benefits Upon Retirement ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retirement Income ${ }^{(3)}$ | \$12,079 | \$18,119 | \$7,248 | \$10,871 | \$0 | \$9,059 |
| Less |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retiree Health Insurance Premium (BC/BS PPO) | -6,522 | -4,988 | -5,755 | -3,837 | 0 | -5,908 |
| Retiree Prescription Drug Premium | -2,365 | -1,858 | -2,111 | -1,478 | 0 | -2,162 |
| Retiree Premium Dental DHMO | -232 | -177 | -205 | -137 | 0 | -210 |
| Total | -\$9,119 | -\$7,023 | -\$8,071 | -\$5,451 | \$0 | -\$8,281 |
| Adjusted Income | \$2,960 | \$11,096 | -\$824 | \$5,420 | \$0 | \$779 |

## Years of Service

Retiree Health Benefit Subsidy ${ }^{(4)}$
Retiree Health Insurance Premium (BC/BS PPO)
Retiree Prescription Drug Premium
Retiree Premium Dental DHMO
Subtotal

## Total Retirement Benefit

| Legislator 2018 Resolution |  | State Employee (Pre-2011 Reform) |  | State Employee (Post-2011 Reform) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{8}$ | $\underline{12}$ | 8 | $\underline{12}$ | $\underline{8}$ | $\underline{12}$ |
| \$3,069 | \$4,604 | \$3,837 | \$5,755 | \$0 | \$3,683 |
| 1,013 | 1,520 | 1,267 | 1,900 | 0 | 1,216 |
| 109 | 164 | 137 | 205 | 0 | 131 |
| \$4,192 | \$6,288 | \$5,240 | \$7,860 | \$0 | \$5,030 |
| \$7,152 | \$17,384 | \$4,416 | \$13,280 | \$0 | \$5,809 |

## BC/BS: BlueCross/BlueShield

DHMO: Dental Health Maintenance Organization PPO: Preferred Provider Organization
(1) Assumes fringe benefits of active legislator/employee, non-Medicare eligible member, and spouse for calendar 2021.
(2) Assumes fringe benefits for Medicare-eligible member and non-Medicare eligible spouse for calendar 2021
(3) Assumes basic retirement allowance. Post-2011 State employees do not vest in the State's pension plan and become eligible for retiree health benefits until 10 years of service.
(4) For legislators with years of service prior to January 2015, State subsidy is calculated at $1 / 16$ per year; for service after it is calculated at $1 / 20$ per year.

Source: Department of Budget and Management; Department of Legislative Services

# Exhibit 44 <br> District Office Accounts 

## Amount - Fiscal 2021

|  | Total | Staff Minimu |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Senate | $\$ 31,896$ |  |
| Leadership (8) | 30,425 | $\$ 6,500$ |
| Senators (39) |  | 5,800 |
| House | $\$ 31,896$ |  |
| Leadership (12) | 31,270 | $\$ 16,500$ |
| Delegation (5) | 30,425 | 15,800 |
| Delegates (124) |  | 15,800 |

## Use of Funds

1. Office space in district
2. Staff services
3. Communications

Telephone - limit $\$ 2,000$ unless approved by presiding officer
Postage - limit $\$ 1,000$ for legislator unless more is approved by presiding officer
No newsletters except Annapolis Report
Newspapers - limit \$500
4. Supplies
5. Utilities
6. Furniture and equipment, including computers; items become property of the State and must be returned or purchased at depreciated value if legislator leaves office

Source: Department of Legislative Services

## Exhibit 45 <br> History of District Office Account Allowances

| Fiscal <br> Year | Total | Senator <br> Clerical Minimum | Total | Delegate <br> Clerical Minimum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1971 | $\$ 4,700$ |  | $\$ 2,000$ |  |
| 1975 | 5,000 |  | 6,000 |  |
| 1979 | 5,500 |  | 8,488 | $\$ 2,850$ |
| 1983 | 6,615 |  | 10,143 | 3,550 |
| 1987 | 7,770 | 56,395 | 11,970 | 4,400 |
| 1990 | 17,395 | 5,800 | 16,197 | 8,000 |
| 1994 | 16,765 | 5,800 | 15,507 | 7,300 |
| 1998 | 18,265 | 5,800 | 17,007 | 7,300 |
| 1999 | 18,265 | 5,800 | 18,265 | 5,800 |
| 2005 | 18,265 | 5,800 | 18,265 | 5,800 |
| 2009 | 18,265 | 5,800 | 18,265 | 5,800 |
| 2013 | 18,265 | 5,800 | 18,265 | 5,800 |
| 2017 | 30,425 |  | 30,425 | 15,800 |
| 2021 | 30,425 |  | 30,425 | 15,800 |

Source: Department of Legislative Services

## Exhibit 46 <br> Staff Assistance for Members of the Maryland General Assembly

Funding is included in the fiscal 2021 budget for the Maryland General Assembly to provide staff assistance to senators and delegates as follows.

## Senate

Funds are included to permit each senator to hire an administrative aide that is a regular full-time, benefited employee. The current salary range for these positions is $\$ 48,950$ to $\$ 77,265$.

Funds are included to permit each senator to hire a secretary for the legislative session. This is generally a benefited employee. For fiscal 2021, each position is budgeted at $\$ 8,400$.

Each senator is provided with a District Office Allowance of $\$ 30,425$. Of this amount, $\$ 5,800$ is restricted to staff assistance. Nine leadership positions are each provided with $\$ 31,896$, of which $\$ 6,500$ is restricted to staff assistance.

Each senator is provided with a Supplemental Operating Fund in the amount of $\$ 7,500$. This amount is intended to supplement the District Office Allowance and may be spent on operating expenses or for staff assistance at the senator's option.

## House

Funds are included in the House budget to provide for payment of salaries attributable to specifically budgeted delegation staff positions. These are generally benefited positions, which may work either a full-time or a part-time schedule depending on workload. The applicable salary for each budgeted delegation staff position is established based primarily on qualifications, experience, and anticipated workload.

Funds are included to permit each delegate to hire a secretary for the legislative session. Each delegate's secretary is funded at $\$ 2,800$ for fiscal 2021, which if combined with two other delegates, approximates the amount budgeted for each senator's secretary.

Each delegate is provided with a District Office Allowance of $\$ 30,425$. Of this amount, $\$ 15,800$ is restricted to staff assistance. Twelve senior leadership positions are each provided with $\$ 31,896$, of which $\$ 16,500$ is restricted to staff assistance. Five delegation chair positions are each provided with $\$ 31,270$, of which $\$ 15,800$ is restricted to staff assistance.

Each delegate is provided with a Supplemental Operating Fund in the amount of $\$ 3,546$. This amount is intended to supplement the District Office Allowance and may be spent on operating expenses or for staff assistance at the delegate's option.

[^5]Exhibit 47

## Legislative Salaries

Calendar 2021


[^6]Exhibit 48

## Legislative Salaries Adjusted for Relative Cost of Living

Calendar 2021


Source: National Conference of State Legislatures; Council for Community and Economic Research (C2ER) as derived by the Missouri Economic Research and Information Center for the third quarter of 2021; Department of Legislative Services


[^0]:    Source: Department of Legislative Services

[^1]:    Source: Department of Legislative Services

[^2]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ A $2 \%$ cost-of-living increase was included in the fiscal 2009 budget. However, a furlough for State employees by Executive Order 01.01.2008.20 on December 16, 2008, reduced employee salaries by an average of approximately $1.5 \%$. General Assembly members are constitutionally exempt from furloughs.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ No cost-of-living increase was included in the fiscal 2010 budget. A furlough for State employees by Executive Order 01.01.2009 in August 2009 resulted in an average salary reduction of approximately $2.5 \%$ of fiscal 2010 levels. General Assembly members are constitutionally exempt from furloughs.
    ${ }^{(3)}$ No cost-of-living increase was included in the fiscal 2011 budget. A furlough for State employees by Executive Order 01.01.2010.11 in May 2010 resulted in an average salary reduction of approximately $2.5 \%$. General Assembly members are constitutionally exempt from furloughs.
    ${ }^{(4)}$ Calendar years.

[^3]:    Source: State Retirement Agency; Department of Legislative Services, November 2021

[^4]:    Source: National Conference of State Legislatures; Department of Legislative Services

[^5]:    Source: Department of Legislative Services

[^6]:    Source: National Conference of State Legislatures; Department of Legislative Services

