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WestEd’s Mission

WestEd is a nonprofit, nonpartisan research, development, and service agency that works with education and other communities to promote excellence, achieve equity, and improve learning for children, youth, and adults.
Bridge to Excellence in Public Schools Act

- A 2002 Maryland Public Schools reform provided substantial, additional resources to schools.
- Requires districts to develop “master plans” that document the use of additional funding to improve outcomes.
- MGT of America report found “that while there were modest student gains over the 2003–2008 phase in of the Act,” most districts and schools were not implementing changes in policy and practice for which there is clear evidence of effectiveness.

Examining State Levers for Improvement
Developing State Roles

States have largely maintained their role as either funder or monitor relative to school districts.

Funder
- Develops a comprehensive approach to the distribution of state/federal resources to incentivize improvement; establishes funding guidelines.

Monitor
- Approval of school district planning tools; completion of needs assessments; periodic review of plans that range in quality; translation from policy to practice.

Promoter
- Communication to promote and support the decisions and direction of school districts; provides ‘air cover’ for more difficult policy issues.

Convener
- Creates structures to support the exchange of ideas across districts; brings educators together to increase focus on/knowledge of the most pressing needs identified statewide.

Researcher
- Studies and highlights effective practices of schools and districts pursuing improvement; collects and disseminates evidence-based practices.

A good state funding and accountability system addresses both how much and how well.

- Adequacy
- Equity
- Flexibility & Support
- Transparency & Discretion
• **Local decision making** on compensation, curriculum, staffing models, and scheduling that meet local needs.

• **Intentional, targeted professional learning** that builds skill of professionals to implement **common goals**.

• **Balance** local decision making with **accountability measures** that are **relevant, timely, and meaningful**.

• **Accessible information** on current **performance, goals and strategies**, and the **alignment of resources**.

**Improved, equitable student outcomes for all Maryland students**
Many districts don’t have meaningful flexibility over resources, in part because of state categorical mandates.

Categorical Mandates as Percent of State Education Budget (2013)

These percentages may not be indicative of the “true” amount of flexible resources when considering the form in which resources are distributed (e.g., teacher positions), among other characteristics of funding systems.

In general, states with a higher % of categorical funds also have a greater # of categorical programs.

Source: Center for American Progress, Categorical Funds: The Intersection of School Finance and Governance, 2013
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DISCREPTION</td>
<td>- Local decision making on compensation, curriculum, staffing models, and scheduling that meet local needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPPORT</td>
<td>- Intentional, targeted professional learning that builds skill of professionals to implement common goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCOUNTABLE</td>
<td>- Balance local decision making with accountability measures that are relevant, timely, and meaningful.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSPARENT</td>
<td>- Accessible information on current performance, goals and strategies, and the alignment of resources.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What does Effective Support look like?

Effective professional development programs share several “critical features”:

- Job-embedded practice
- Intense and sustained durations
- A focus on discrete skill sets
- Active learning

What do these “critical features” look like at each level of the system?

Four Domains of Rapid School Improvement

- Framework is specific to the areas in which practitioners need to diagnose, assess, and improve
- Backed by research and evidence from the field
- Critical element remains: *how does a state monitor and support implementation?*

Assumptions behind continuous improvement

• It’s about systems

• Focus on the processes to improve outcomes

• Learn our way into new performance by applying the scientific method

• Engaging the “front line”
• Local decision making on compensation, curriculum, staffing models, and scheduling that meet local needs.

• Intentional, targeted professional learning that builds skill of professionals to implement common goals.

• Balance local decision making with accountability measures that are relevant, timely, and meaningful.

• Accessible information on current performance, goals and strategies, and the alignment of resources.
“Accountability must be a reciprocal process. For every increment of performance I demand from you, I have an equal responsibility to provide you with the capacity to meet that expectation.”

- Richard Elmore
Accountability and support: Avoiding “the pendulum swing”

**Incremental Change**
Innovations implemented on small scale through step by step changes and narrow actions that can be measured and monitored for impact.

**Fundamental Change**
Innovations implemented on wide scale through multiple and simultaneous changes measured and monitored collectively for impact.

Reality on the Ground? A combination of the two
• Local decision making on compensation, curriculum, staffing models, and scheduling that meet local needs.

• Intentional, targeted professional learning that builds skill of professionals to implement common goals.

• Balance local decision making with accountability measures that are relevant, timely, and meaningful.

• Accessible information on current performance, goals and strategies, and the alignment of resources.
Internal and external transparency

• Access to information is the foundation of greater transparency.
• Transparency has practical implications and implications for the culture of the system.
• Systems must attend to internal transparency in addition to external transparency.
  • Identify and disaggregate progress across districts, schools, and for student groups
  • identify structural, policy and systems problems
  • Inform continuous improvement efforts
• Considerations: need a clear theory of change that links the data to accountability; need to select data that are critical to monitoring performance, finance and management.
State Spotlights
Massachusetts & California
Massachusetts: 30 years in the making

- **Flexibility.** ESSA plan gives districts ability to “stretch and continually improve,” to determine the best lever for improvement.

- **Support.** Direct support to large districts through Commissioner’s Districts programs; and small and midsized districts through District and School Assistance Centers.

- **Accountability.** Robust accountability metrics on a variety of academic, behavioral and student coursework indicators. Underperformance results in state-level intervention.

- **Transparency.** Parent-friendly district and school-level report cards, based on accountability metrics.

- **Equity.** System focus on closing the proficiency gap.
California: Local Control Funding Formula

- Enacted in 2013, LCFF transformed education funding and accountability in California. Its guiding principles are equity, local control, and accountability.

- **Accountability.** Student outcomes are reported through an accountability matrix on the state’s data Dashboard.

- **Support.** Districts qualify for context-specific differentiated assistance through their COE or another entity if 2 or more student groups are underperforming.

- **Transparency.** Districts develop three-year plans describing annual goals and specific actions to meet state priorities.
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Methodology & Framework
Accountability, Support, & Governance Systems in the era of ESSA

Project aims to:

• **Understand** and **document** the developing landscape of accountability, support, and governance systems in the U.S.
• Create a way in which to **classify** and **organize** states
• Raise up examples, domestic and internationally, that **demonstrate effective uses of such systems**
• Offer specific, concrete ways in which various branches of state government as well as school districts can configure such systems
Selection Criteria

• **International**: PISA Scores (last 12 years by absolute performance, growth and gap closure)

• **Domestic**: NAEP Scores (last 12 years by absolute performance, growth and gap closure)

• **Validated by research**. Existing research on top-performing systems both domestic and internationally.

• **Bold reforms**. Included states that have recently enacted bold reforms, e.g., CA, TN
**DISCRETION**

• Local decision making on compensation, curriculum, staffing models, and scheduling that meet local needs.

**SUPPORT**

• Intentional, targeted professional learning that: builds capacity of professionals to implement common goals.

**ACCOUNTABLE**

• Balance local decision making with accountability measures that are relevant, timely, and meaningful.

**TRANSPARENT**

• Publicly accessible information on current performance, goals and strategies, and the alignment of resources.
Discretion Principles

• The state has worked to remove constraints and mandates that limit strategic resource use by districts to improve student outcome goals and local priorities informed by state-wide policy investments.

• The state has created structures to increase flexibility to districts to improve resource use.

• All districts that want flexibility have the ability to get it.
## Accountability

### Table 1. Accountability types in policy and psychological accountability mechanisms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychological accountability mechanisms</th>
<th>Accountability types in policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Outcome-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mere presence of another</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifiability</td>
<td>US News college rankings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason-giving</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>Contingent fees for attorneys</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Design Principle: Strengths-Based Approach

- Values the capacity, skills, knowledge, connections and potential in individuals and communities.
- Focusing on strengths does not mean ignoring challenges, or converting struggles into strengths.
- Practitioners working in this way have to work in collaboration - helping people to do things for themselves. In this way, people can become co-producers of support, not passive consumers of support.

Blending funds to maximize efficiency

Funding Stream A: $25,000
Funding Stream B: $25,000
Funding Stream C: $50,000

- 40 kids served are eligible under Funding Stream A
- 10 kids served are eligible for both
- 20 kids served are eligible under Funding Stream C
- 100 kids served are eligible under Funding Stream B

Systemic causes require systemic solutions

A continuous improvement approach

Targeted high-leverage interventions

Stronger connections between schools, districts, and support providers

What is continuous improvement?

“constant and unrelenting”

“steady progress”

”without interruption”

CURRENT REALITY  GAP  VISION
Whole System Engagement

Place Of Transformation: School

Point Of Intervention: District

Source Of Technical Support: State

Purpose Of Our Work: Student & Family
International Examples

Singapore & Canada
Singapore ranks the highest in all three subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Mean score in PISA in 2015</th>
<th>OECD Average in 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Keys to Singapore’s success

- **National investments** in the teaching force to increase prestige for the profession and attract most qualified graduates.
- **A tightly coupled system.** Key leaders of the ministry, NIE, and the schools share responsibility and accountability. No policy is announced without a plan for building the capacity to meet it.
- **System coherence.** Attention to the details of implementation when a policy is developed or changed results in high fidelity to implementation and reduces variation across schools.
- **High-quality teaching force.** A comprehensive system for selecting, training, compensating and developing teachers and principals.
- **A culture of achievement.** A national commitment to educational achievement and educational excellence.

“From Singapore’s beginning, education has been seen as central to building both the economy and the nation.” - OECD
Canada achieved both high levels of performance and greater equity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Mean score in PISA in 2015</th>
<th>OECD Average in 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What distinguishes Canada from other systems

- Decentralized, but collaborative education system
- A strong focus on equity
- A highly selective, well-paid teaching force
- High levels of consistency in performance between low- and high-income students and between schools
- Clear goals for their education system that are connected to Canada’s social and economic goals
Singapore’s success attributed to its teaching force

- **National investments** in the teaching force to increase prestige for the profession and attract most qualified graduates.
- **Pre-service training.** All teachers are trained through the National Institute of Education; sets a standard of quality for teacher preparation.
- **Selection and placement.** Two stage process including an exam and an interview by school principals.
- **In-service training.** 100 hours of in-service training per year.
- **A culture of achievement.** A national commitment to educational achievement and educational excellence.

“From Singapore’s beginning, education has been seen as central to building both the economy and the nation.” - OECD
### Finland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Mean score in PISA in 2015</th>
<th>OECD Average in 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Finland’s success attributed to its teaching force

• **Highly Competitive**: 1/10 Candidates selected annually based on high scores, interview and observed performance in a clinical environment.

• **Highly Qualified**: Primary and High School teachers must have a masters degree. Preschool and Kindergarten must have a bachelors.

• **Highly Respected and Autonomous**: Teachers heavily influence curriculum design and implementation as well as student assessment. Finns view this as granting a high level of professionalism.